

Fw: Team Performance Management - Account Created in ScholarOne Manuscripts

External Inbox x

Sutarti Tart
to me ▾

Feb 8, 2023, 11:40 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

----- Pesan yang Diteruskan -----

Dari: Team Performance Management <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com>

Kepada: "sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com" <sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com>

Terkirim: Minggu, 24 Januari 2021 17.54.37 WIB

Judul: Team Performance Management - Account Created in ScholarOne Manuscripts

24-Jan-2021

Dear Dr. **Sutarti**:

Welcome to Team Performance Management - ScholarOne Manuscripts site for online submission and review.

Your USER ID and PASSWORD for your account at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm> is as follows:

USER ID: sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com

PASSWORD: If you are unsure of the password that you set when you created your account you should click the link below which will take you directly to the option for setting a new password.

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm?URL_MASK=d4702015e5124aa1a97149e0446c63ef

Please note that your PASSWORD is case-sensitive.


Thank you for your participation.

Yours Sincerely,

Team Performance Management Editorial Office

Log in to Remove This Account - https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm?URL_MASK=de98a546515a4cd29d6f7fdb17babf4c

Fw: Team Performance Management - TPM-01-2021-0006 External Inbox x

 Sutarti Tarti
to me ▾

Wed, Feb 8, 11:42 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

----- Pesan yang Diteruskan -----

Dari: Team Performance Management <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com>

Kepada: "sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com" <sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com>

Terakhir: Senin, 25 Januari 2021 11.20.22 WIB

Judul: Team Performance Management - TPM-01-2021-0006

25-Jan-2021

Dear Dr. Sutarti:

Your manuscript entitled "Top Management Team (TMT) Age Diversity and Firm Performance: The moderating Role of the Effectiveness of Top Management Team meetings" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in **Team Performance Management**.

Your manuscript ID is TPM-01-2021-0006.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to ScholarOne Manuscripts at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Centre after logging in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm>.

Please note that Emerald requires you to clear permission to re-use any material not created by you. If there are permissions outstanding, please upload these when you submit your revision or send directly to Emerald if your paper is accepted immediately. Emerald is unable to publish your paper with permissions outstanding.

Open Access?

All of our subscription journals give you the option of publishing your article open access, following payment of an article processing charge (APC). To find the APC for your journal, please refer to the APC price list: http://www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/openaccess/apc_price_list.pdf

Emerald has established partnerships with national consortium bodies to offer a number of APC vouchers for eligible regions and institutions. To check your eligibility please refer to the open access partnerships page: <http://www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/openaccess/opartnerships.htm>

If you would like to publish your article open access please contact openaccess@emeraldgroup.com


Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the **Team Performance Management**.

Yours Sincerely,

Petru Curseu

Team Performance Management

Fw: Team Performance Management - Decision on Manuscript ID TPM-01-2021-0006 External Inbox x

 Sutarti Tartti
to me ▾

Wed, Feb 8, 11:44 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

----- Pesan yang Diteruskan -----

Dari: Team Performance Management <onbehalfof@manuscriptcentral.com>

Kepada: "sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com" <sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com>

Ter kirim: Kamis, 15 April 2021 18.11.47 WIB

Judul: Team Performance Management - Decision on Manuscript ID TPM-01-2021-0006

15-Apr-2021

Dear Dr. Sutarti:

Manuscript ID TPM-01-2021-0006 entitled "Top Management Team (TMT) Age Diversity and Firm Performance: The moderating Role of the Effectiveness of Top Management Team meetings" which you submitted to Team Performance Management, has been reviewed. The comments of the reviewer(s) are included at the bottom of this letter.

The reviewers have recommended publication, but also suggest some revisions to your manuscript. Therefore, I invite you to respond to the reviewers' comments and revise your manuscript. Please work with all the remarks made by the two reviewers and revise the paper accordingly. Please specify in a letter to the editor the way in which you have implemented all the changes suggested by the two reviewers.

In addition to the remarks made by the two reviewers please also amend the following issues.

Emphasize better the theoretical contributions of your paper and also embed better your research in the conversations happening the Team Performance Management.

Please proofread your manuscript in order to correct all linguistic errors.

To revise your manuscript, log into <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm> and enter your Author Centre, where you will find your manuscript title listed under "Manuscripts with Decisions." Under "Actions," click on "Create a Revision." Your manuscript number has been appended to denote a revision.

You will be unable to make your revisions on the originally submitted version of the manuscript. Instead, revise your manuscript using a word processing program and save it on your computer. Please also highlight the changes to your manuscript within the document by using the track changes mode in MS Word or by using bold or coloured text. Once the revised manuscript is prepared, you can upload it and submit it through your Author Centre.

When submitting your revised manuscript, you will be able to respond to the comments made by the reviewer(s) in the space provided. You can use this space to document any changes you make to the original manuscript. In order to expedite the processing of the revised manuscript, please be as specific as possible in your response to the reviewer(s).

IMPORTANT: Your original files are available to you when you upload your revised manuscript. Please delete any redundant files before completing the submission.

Because we are trying to facilitate timely publication of manuscripts submitted to Team Performance Management, your revised manuscript should be uploaded as soon as possible. If it is not possible for you to submit your revision in a reasonable amount of time, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Once again, thank you for submitting your manuscript to Team Performance Management and I look forward to receiving your revision.

Yours Sincerely,

Prof. Petru Lucian Curseu

Editor, Team Performance Management

petrucurseu@psychology.ro

Reviewer(s) Comments to author:

Reviewer: 1

Recommendation: Major Revision

Comments:

A satisfactory piece of work but there is a need for restructuring and improvement of introduction, literature, and conclusion.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: Yes the paper contains fresh information adequate to justify publication. The introduction needs restructuring to give a better transition between the different parts. Besides, better conceptualisation is suggested for one and two-tier systems. The resource dependency theory could be conceptualised in the literature review part. More focus to be given on the research gap.
2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: Yes, the paper demonstrates a satisfactory understanding of the relevant literature on the influence of TMT diversity on performance. However, there is extensive literature in the field of TMT diversity and the quality of the paper would have been improved with the inclusion of more up-to-date peer review articles (i.e. the last 5 years).
3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: The methodology is built on an appropriate base of concepts. The study is based on secondary data from banks' annual reports and websites.
4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: Yes the results are analysed appropriately.
5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: This part needs improvement. The paper should focus more on the implications for research, practice and society. The analysis in this section is brief.
6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: A thorough reading should be made and address the syntax mistakes that exist in the text. More clarity of expression and better transition between the different concepts is needed in each section of the paper.

Reviewer: 2

Recommendation: Major Revision

Comments:

Some parts of the work need to be improved. For example, in the development of hypotheses it is necessary to better explain why a negative relationship was chosen and not a positive one, and it should also be mentioned what is the nature of the moderation relationship.

A graphic representation of the studied relationships can help the reader to better understand the purpose of the study.

Also, the implications of the study (both theoretical and practical) deserve to be further developed.

Additional Questions:

1. Originality: Does the paper contain new and significant information adequate to justify publication?: The main idea of the study is not an original one, being similar to that of the study of Al-Musali & Ku Ismail (2015), the main difference being the type of diversity investigated. However, given that the study is conducted in Indonesia, its value increases because it helps to generalize the knowledge resulting from studies conducted in countries from Western culture to countries with a different culture.

2. Relationship to Literature: Does the paper demonstrate an adequate understanding of the relevant literature in the field and cite an appropriate range of literature sources? Is any significant work ignored?: The authors cite an appropriate number of studies that have investigated the relationship between age diversity and tmt performance. The authors present examples of studies that support the hypothesis and examples of studies that do not support it.

3. Methodology: Is the paper's argument built on an appropriate base of theory, concepts, or other ideas? Has the research or equivalent intellectual work on which the paper is based been well designed? Are the methods employed appropriate?: The authors present theoretical arguments regarding the relationship between age diversity and tmt performance, using the upper echelon theory, social categorization and similarity/attraction theories, and decision-making theories. The authors correctly conclude that from the previous studies and the mentioned theories 2 contradictory research hypotheses can be derived regarding the relationship between age diversity and tmt performance. But, in formulating the hypothesis, they choose a negative relationship, without presenting convincing arguments for this decision.

Regarding the second hypothesis, the authors do not clearly explain why the effectiveness of tmt meetings would moderate the relationship between age diversity and tmt performance. Also, the hypothesis does not specify whether the moderator would accentuate or diminish the relationship (even if this aspect is mentioned in other parts of the paper).

In the tested models, the authors include several control variables and even if their inclusion seems reasonable, the authors did not explain why they included them.

Also, the authors do not explain why the frequency of tmt meetings is a good measure of TMT meeting effectiveness.

4. Results: Are results presented clearly and analysed appropriately? Do the conclusions adequately tie together the other elements of the paper?: For a better understanding of the investigated relationships, the authors could use some graphical presentations.

The authors mention that they use a hierarchical regression. Thus, it may be useful to present the difference of R squared and its level of significance.

5. Implications for research, practice and/or society: Does the paper identify clearly any implications for research, practice and/or society? Does the paper bridge the gap between theory and practice? How can the research be used in practice (economic and commercial impact), in teaching, to influence public policy, in research (contributing to the body of knowledge)? What is the impact upon society (influencing public attitudes, affecting quality of life)? Are these implications consistent with the findings and conclusions of the paper?: The theoretical and practical implications are only briefly mentioned. They must be developed so that it is clear how the theory is influenced by these results and how these results can be used to build organizational interventions.

6. Quality of Communication: Does the paper clearly express its case, measured against the technical language of the field and the expected knowledge of the journal's readership? Has attention been paid to the clarity of expression and readability, such as sentence structure, jargon use, acronyms, etc.: Some good ideas are not presented in the right place in the paper. For instance, the authors do not mention the nature of moderation when presenting the research hypothesis, but do so in a later section.

There are also several spelling mistakes and at least one citation error: "Hambrick and Simon, 1984", most likely confused with "Hambrick and Mason, 1984".

DEADLINE: 15-Jul-2021. If you need additional time to complete your revision please contact me to ask for an extension. Please note that the deadline expires at the start of the day and not the end of the day.

To go straight to your paper, click this link: *** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm?URL_MASK=0c4d26130d5145dd99efddcacb82af6f

Fw: Reminder: Team Performance Management External Inbox x

S Sutarti Tartti
to me ▾

Wed, Feb 8, 11:46 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

----- Pesan yang Diteruskan -----

Dari: Team Performance Management <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Kepada: "sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com" <sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com>

Ter kirim: Kamis, 1 Juli 2021 13.07.02 WIB

Judul: Reminder: Team Performance Management

01-Jul-2021

Dear Dr. Sutarti:

Recently, you received a decision on Manuscript ID TPM-01-2021-0006, entitled "Top Management Team (TMT) Age Diversity and Firm Performance: The moderating Role of the Effectiveness of Top Management Team meetings." The manuscript and decision letter are located in your Author Centre at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm>

This e-mail is simply a reminder that your revision is due on 15-Jul-2021.

Please click the link to create a revision: *** PLEASE NOTE: This is a two-step process. After clicking on the link, you will be directed to a webpage to confirm. ***

https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm?URL_MASK=ccc3eda1a76a4440858e15e697b5c993

If you need extra time, please contact me to discuss an extension. (Please quote your manuscript ID). If you do not request an extension and you miss the deadline, we may have to consider your paper as a new submission.

Please note that Emerald requires you to clear permission to re-use any material not created by you. If there are permissions outstanding, please upload these when you submit your revision. Emerald is unable to publish your paper with permissions outstanding.

Yours Sincerely,

Prof. Petru Lucian Curseu

Editor, Team Performance Management

petrucurseu@psychology.ro

Fw: **Team Performance Management** - TPM-01-2021-0006.R1 External Inbox xSutarti Tartti
to me ▾

Wed, Feb 8, 11:47 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

----- Pesan yang Diteruskan -----

Dari: **Team Performance Management** <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Kepada: "sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com" <sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com>

Ter kirim: Minggu, 11 Juli 2021 22:36:41 WIB

Judul: **Team Performance Management** - TPM-01-2021-0006.R1

11-Jul-2021

Dear Dr. Sutarti:

Your revised manuscript entitled "Top **Management Team** (TMT) Age Diversity and Firm **Performance**: The moderating Role of the Effectiveness of Top **Management Team** meetings" has been successfully submitted online and is presently being given full consideration for publication in **Team Performance Management**.

Your manuscript ID is TPM-01-2021-0006.R1.

Please mention the above manuscript ID in all future correspondence or when calling the office for questions. If there are any changes in your street address or e-mail address, please log in to ScholarOne Manuscripts at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm> and edit your user information as appropriate.

You can also view the status of your manuscript at any time by checking your Author Centre after logging in to <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm>

Please note that Emerald requires you to clear permission to re-use any material not created by you. If there are permissions outstanding, please upload these when you submit your revision or send directly to Emerald if your paper is accepted immediately. Emerald is unable to publish your paper with permissions outstanding.

Open Access?

All of our subscription journals give you the option of publishing your article open access, following payment of an article processing charge (APC). To find the APC for your journal, please refer to the APC price list: http://www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/openaccess/apc_price_list.pdf

Emerald has established partnerships with national consortium bodies to offer a number of APC vouchers for eligible regions and institutions. To check your eligibility please refer to the open access partnerships page: <http://www.emeraldgroupublishing.com/openaccess/oapartnerships.htm>


If you would like to publish your article open access please contact openaccess@emeraldgroup.com

Thank you for submitting your manuscript to the **Team Performance Management**.

Yours Sincerely,

Petru Curseu

Team Performance Management<https://orcid.org/0000-0003-0506-3185>

Fw: Self-archiving Instructions External Inbox x Sutarti Tarti
to me ▾

Feb 8, 2023, 11:49 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

----- Pesan yang Diteruskan -----

Dari: **Team Performance Management** <onbehalfot@manuscriptcentral.com>
Kepada: "sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com" <sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com>
Terakhir: Sabtu, 24 Juli 2021 16.40.09 WIB
Judul: Self-archiving Instructions

24-Jul-2021

Dear Sutarti, Sutarti; Syakhroza, Akhmad; Diyanty, Vera ; Dewo, Setio,

"**Top Management Team (TMT) Age Diversity and Firm Performance: The moderating Role of the Effectiveness of Top Management Team meetings**"

Effective from September 2017, Emerald has removed the embargo period across all journals for the self-archiving of the Author Accepted Manuscript (AAM). This enables all of our authors to make their article open access via a 'green' route. The full text of the article may therefore become visible within your personal website, institutional repository (IR), subject repository, SCN signed up to the Voluntary STM Sharing Principles as soon as the final version has been published in the journal. It may also be shared with interested individuals, for teaching and training purposes at your own institution and for grant applications.

Please refer to the terms of your own institution to ensure full compliance.

The date your article was accepted for publication was: (24-Jul-2021)

If you are required to deposit your article in your institution's repository, you will need to:

- Either, manually deposit the accepted manuscript attached to this email or a version from your own records (it must be the version accepted for publication by the journal's Editor) into your repository
- Or if applicable, forward your accepted manuscript to your institution's Repository Manager.
- If you are unsure which option is applicable to you, please refer to your institutional or departmental publication policy;

To deposit your AAM, you will need to adhere to the following conditions:

- You must include the DOI (10.1108/TPM-01-2021-0006) back to the official published version of your article within www.emeraldinsight.com;
- Include all of the relevant metadata (article title, journal name, volume, issue no. etc.).
- The AAM must clearly indicate where the article was published, or where it is forthcoming;
- Include a clear licensing statement (see below).

Deposit licences

Emerald allows authors to deposit their AAM under the Creative Commons Attribution Non-commercial International Licence 4.0 (CC BY-NC 4.0). To do this, the deposit must clearly state that the AAM is deposited under this licence and that any reuse is allowed in accordance with the terms outlined by the licence. To reuse the AAM for commercial purposes, permission should be sought by contacting permissions@emeraldinsight.com.

For the sake of clarity, commercial usage would be considered as, but not limited to:

- o Copying or downloading AAMs for further distribution for a fee;
- o Any use of the AAM in conjunction with advertising;
- o Any use of the AAM by for promotional purposes by for-profit organisations;
- o Any use that would confer monetary reward, commercial gain or commercial exploitation.

Emerald appreciates that some authors may not wish to use the CC BY-NC licence; in this case, you should deposit the AAM and include the copyright line of the published article. Should you have any questions about our licensing policies, please contact permissions@emeraldinsight.com.

- Include the article abstract (see below).

Objectives - This study aimed to investigate the direct effect of directors' age diversity, and its interaction effect with the effectiveness of top **management team** meetings on bank **performance**.

Methodology - Quantitative data were extracted from the bank's annual reports for the six years 2011 to 2016. Age diversity was calculated using the coefficient of variation, and the bank's **performance** was measured as return on assets and return on equity. The frequency of directors' meetings was used as a proxy for the effectiveness of top **management team** meetings.


Findings - Based on the hierarchical regression analysis, the results do not support the hypothesis that there is a negative influence between age diversity on **performance**. However, the results support the hypothesis that age diversity has a positive effect on **performance** due to the high effectiveness of top **management team** meetings.

The limitations of the study include the use of only samples of the banks registered with Bank Indonesia. The subsequent research could use cross-country bank samples. In addition, the research uses age-related diversity variables only. Therefore, further research could consider other types of diversity such as education, functional, or tenure. Furthermore, this study is limited to the effectiveness of the director (TMT) meetings as the only moderating variable. Further research could improve on this by including other moderating variables.

Practical Implications - The findings of this study indicate that the existence of age diversity in TMT will aid bank governance if it is accompanied by effective meetings among groups of directors of varying ages. This age composition of directors will make meetings more effective as rich information for strategic decisions will be generated from different points of view due to the wide spectrum of age categories, and hence, there will be a positive impact on bank **performance**.

Thank you for choosing to publish with Emerald.

Kind Regards,
Laura Wilson
Head of Rights, Emerald Publishing

One attachment • Scanned by Gmail 

Fw: Team Performance Management - Decision on Manuscript ID TPM-01-2021-0006.R1

External Inbox x

S Sutarti Tartti
to me

Wed, Feb 8, 11:49 AM ☆ ↶ ⋮

----- Pesan yang Diteruskan -----

Dari: Team Performance Management <onbehalf@manuscriptcentral.com>

Kepada: "sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com" <sutarti_stiek@yahoo.com>; "a_syakhroza@yahoo.com" <a_syakhroza@yahoo.com>; "veranabila1@gmail.com" <veranabila1@gmail.com>; "dewosa@gmail.com" <dewosa@gmail.com>

Terakhir: Sabtu, 24 Juli 2021 16.40.04 WIB

Judul: Team Performance Management - Decision on Manuscript ID TPM-01-2021-0006.R1

24-Jul-2021

Dear Sutarti, Sutarti; Syakhroza, Akhmad; Diyanty, Vera ; Dewo, Setio

It is a pleasure to accept your manuscript TPM-01-2021-0006.R1, entitled "Top Management Team (TMT) Age Diversity and Firm Performance: The moderating Role of the Effectiveness of Top Management Team meetings" in its current form for publication in **Team Performance Management**. Please note, no further changes can be made to your manuscript.

Please go to your Author Centre at <https://mc.manuscriptcentral.com/tpm> (Manuscripts with Decisions for the submitting author or Manuscripts I have co-authored for all listed co-authors) to complete the Copyright Transfer Agreement form (CTA). We cannot publish your paper without this.

All authors are requested to complete the form and to input their full contact details. If any of the contact information is incorrect you can update it by clicking on your name at the top right of the screen. Please note that this must be done prior to you submitting your CTA.

If you have an ORCID please check your account details to ensure that your ORCID is validated.

By publishing in this journal your work will benefit from Emerald EarlyCite. As soon as your CTA is completed your manuscript will pass to Emerald's Content Management department and be processed for EarlyCite publication. EarlyCite is the author proofed, typeset version of record, fully citable by DOI. The EarlyCite article sits outside of a journal issue and is paginated in isolation. The EarlyCite article will be collated into a journal issue according to the journals' publication schedule.

FOR OPEN ACCESS AUTHORS: Please note if you have indicated that you would like to publish your article as Open Access via Emerald's Gold Open Access route, you are required to complete a Creative Commons Attribution Licence - CCBY 4.0 (in place of the standard copyright assignment form referenced above). You will receive a follow up email within the next 30 days with a link to the CCBY licence and information regarding payment of the Article Processing Charge. If you have indicated that you might be eligible for a prepaid APC voucher, you will also be informed at this point if a voucher is available to you (for more information on APC vouchers please see <http://www.emeraldpublishing.com/oapartnerships>)

Thank you for your contribution. On behalf of the Editors of **Team Performance Management**, we look forward to your continued contributions to the Journal.

Yours Sincerely,

Prof. Petru Lucian Curseu

Editor, **Team Performance Management**petrucurseu@psychology.ro



Top Management Team (TMT) Age Diversity and Firm Performance: The moderating Role of the Effectiveness of Top Management Team meetings

Journal:	<i>Team Performance Management</i>
Manuscript ID	TPM-01-2021-0006.R1
Manuscript Type:	Research Paper
Keywords:	Age diversity, effectiveness TMT meetings, performance, Top management team

1
2
3 **Top Management Team (TMT) Age Diversity and Firm Performance: The moderating**
4 **Role of the Effectiveness of Top Management Team meetings**
5 **(Evidence From Commercial Banks in Indonesia)**
6
7
8
9

10 **Abstract**

11 **Objectives** - This study aimed to investigate the direct effect of directors' age diversity, and
12 its interaction effect with the effectiveness of top management team meetings on bank
13 performance.
14

15 **Methodology** - Quantitative data were extracted from the bank's annual reports for the six
16 years 2011 to 2016. Age diversity was calculated using the coefficient of variation, and the
17 bank's performance was measured as return on assets and return on equity. The frequency of
18 directors' meetings was used as a proxy for the effectiveness of top management team
19 meetings.
20
21

22 **Findings** - Based on the hierarchical regression analysis, the results do not support the
23 hypothesis that there is a negative influence between age diversity on performance. However,
24 the results support the hypothesis that age diversity has a positive effect on performance due to
25 the high effectiveness of top management team meetings.
26

27 **Practical Implications** - The findings of this study indicate that the existence of age diversity
28 in TMT will aid bank governance if it is accompanied by effective meetings among groups of
29 directors of varying ages. This age composition of directors will make meetings more effective
30 as rich information for strategic decisions will be generated from different points of view due
31 to the wide spectrum of age categories, and hence, there will be a positive impact on bank
32 performance.
33
34

35 **Social Implications** - This study indicates that effective meetings of TMT groups of different
36 ages will minimize the rise of "self-esteem". Therefore, they will benefit the creation of a better
37 quality relationship among TMT individuals. Accordingly, TMT within a company will have
38 more opportunities to discuss in providing bright ideas for the company on how to innovate
39 and create a new strategy to improve its performance.
40
41

42 **Originality/value** - This study, being the first to explore the effectiveness of top management
43 team meetings to bank performance in the contexts of directors' age diversity, contributes to
44 the literature in this area, and especially to the body of knowledge about companies
45 implementing a two-tier governance system.
46
47

48 **Keywords:** Age diversity, performance, effectiveness TMT meetings, top management team
49

50 **1. INTRODUCTION**

51
52 Performance, especially in terms of efficiency, is an important competing factor for the
53 Indonesian commercial banks in the ASEAN Economic Community (AEC) banking sector in
54 2020. However, governance is one of the determinants of bank performance (Bozec et al. 2010;
55 Chan & Heang, 2010; Tanna et al. 2011; Zabri et al, 2016; Ramli and Ramli, 2017; Pillai et al.
56 2017; Mahrani and Soewarno, 2017). Concerning corporate governance practices, diversity in
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 the Top Management Team (TMT) is considered to be an important variable that supports
4 performance (Hambrick and Mason, 1984). TMT is a relatively small group of the most
5 influential executives, which possesses the authority to determine strategies at the apex of an
6 organization. Some studies limit TMT to the two top levels of organizational management
7 which include Chief Executive Officer (CEO), Chief Operating Officer (COO), Chief Financial
8 Officer (CFO), Chief Information Officer (CIO) (Carpenter, 2002; Finkelstein & Hambrick,
9 1996; Wirsema & Bantel, 1992).

15 Based on the public companies in Indonesia (including banks), the issue of diversity in
16 TMT has been a concern for regulators since 2014, as described in the road map of the country's
17 corporate governance. According to chapter 2 related to strengthening good corporate
18 governance, it was recommended that companies should consider the diversity composition of
19 the commissioner and director boards. This included academic qualifications, expertise, age,
20 and gender, in order to achieve a good governance (Financial Services Authority, 2014). Also,
21 this policy was further regulated into the Circular Letter of the Financial Services Authority
22 No. 32/SEOJK.04/2015, concerning governance guidelines for public companies, including
23 banks. Furthermore, age diversity is specifically a very interesting topic to be further studied
24 in Indonesian companies, including banks. This is due to the entrance of the country into the
25 demographic bonus era, where the population structure with the number of productive ages
26 (15-64 years) is very large. However, the proportion of the young and elderly population is
27 becoming smaller and not very large, respectively (Falikha, 2017). Based on a large proportion
28 of productive age, the possibility of increase in the age diversity of company workers is
29 assumed (Boehm et al. 2011).

41 Previous research related to the relationship between TMT age diversity and
42 performance have been globally and locally (in Indonesia) conducted, however, the results
43 have not been consistent (Kilduff et al. 2000, Webber & Donahue, 2001; Ozer, 2010; Nielsen
44 & Nielsen, 2013; Talavera et al. 2017; Tanikawa et al. 2017; Rompis et al. 2018). Some studies
45 have found a positive relationship (e.g. Kilduff et al. 2000), while others have identified
46 negative (e.g. Ozer, 2010; Talavera et al. 2017; Tanikawa et al. 2017), and non-significant
47 relationships (e.g. Weber & Donahue, 2001; Nielsen & Nielsen, 2013; Rompis et al. 2018).
48 Furthermore, various results have shown that diversity in directors is like a double-edged
49 sword, as the theory stated by Williams and O'Relly (1998), had positive and negative impacts.
50 However, some experts stated that the inconsistency between the relationship of TMT diversity
51 according to these previous studies might due to some important moderating or intervening
52 variables which were ignored (Carpenter, 2002; Olson et al. 2006; Van Knippenberg and
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 Schippers 2007). Therefore, it is important to examine the moderating variables in the
4 relationship between TMT diversity and corporate performance as the relationship might be
5 complex and indirect.
6
7

8 The previous literature explained that several variables as moderate factors, were used
9 to highlight the relationship between TMT diversity, which contained other types of
10 diversification (Carpenter, 2002; Gallent et al. 2015; Tanikawa et al. 2017), meetings
11 (Almusali and Ku Ismail, 2015) or increased interaction among members (Boehm et al. 2011).
12 Carpenter (2002) and Tanikawa et al. (2017) studied the role of moderating variables by
13 examining the relationship between TMT's age diversity on performance with only a narrow
14 focus on the variables related to personal characteristics of TMT's members. Furthermore,
15 there are limited studies on the effect of age diversity on performance with moderating
16 variables, which are related to TMT characteristics in organizational contexts. These
17 characteristics include meetings in developing countries, such as Indonesia, where the
18 governance administration adheres to a two-tier board system.
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26

27 Based on filling the gap with the addition of empirical analysis, this study aims to
28 examine the role of TMT meeting effectiveness, in moderating the effect of age diversity on
29 performance. Therefore, the main question in this study is to determine whether the meeting
30 effectiveness moderates the effect of age diversity on performance. Furthermore, this study
31 focuses on the effectiveness of TMT meetings as a moderating variable, due to being an
32 important part of corporate governance (Almusali and Ku Ismail, 2015). Previous literature
33 also showed that these meetings improve performance (Harymawan et al. 2020), and
34 conceptually reduce the negative impact arising from TMT diversity (Almusali and Ku Ismail,
35 2015).
36
37
38
39
40
41
42

43 This study also theoretically and conceptually contributes to existing knowledges, by
44 examining the factors influencing the interactive effects of TMT meeting effectiveness and age
45 diversity on performance. Theoretically, the study provides empirical evidence on the role of
46 TMT meeting effectiveness in moderating the influence of age diversity on performance. In
47 concept, the findings of this study have implications for improvement in bank performance
48 through an evidence-based decision on the implementation of good governance.
49
50
51
52

53 The outline of the remaining part of the paper is presented as follows: section 2 dwells
54 on literature review, section 3 explains the methodology, while Section 4 and 5 present the
55 results of analysis and discussion respectively.
56
57
58
59
60

2. Literature Review

2.1. Upper Echelon Theory

Upper echelon theory hypothesizes that the top management characteristics affect the outcomes of an organization (Hambrick & Mason, 1984), which includes a strategic choice. The experience, values, and personality of the board of directors who are the top management team (TMT) in an organization have a major influence on deliberations at meetings and affect decisions regarding the choice of strategy. Therefore, strategic choices are generally more influenced by components of behaviour and reflect the idiosyncrasy of the decision-makers, such as the cognitive basis. March and Simon (1958) in Hambrick and Mason (1984) argue that every decision-maker, in this case, directors, carries a group of "givens". Givens reflects the cognitive basis of decision-makers which includes knowledge or assumptions about future events, knowledge of alternatives, and knowledge of the consequences inherent in alternatives. Thus, this theory can be used to understand how management makes a strategic decision based on its characteristics, which in turn will have an impact on organizational performance

2.2. Resource Dependence Theory

Resource dependence theory focuses on the benefits provided by individuals within the company, through linkages with external organizations (Pfeffer & Salancik, 1978). The companies that depend on their environment, directors, and commissioners, play a role in connecting with external organizations, towards overcoming this dependence. Also, the demographic and cognitive diversities of directors and commissioners are expected to support this ability, due to diverse boards having better access to information and networks (Bryant & Davis, 2012).

According to Pfeffer & Salancik (1978), there were four functions of external linkages, (1) Provision of resources such as information and expertise, (2) Creation of communication channels between companies and their constituents, (3) Availability of additional support from external organizations, in the form of financial commitments or reputations, (4) Provision of additional legitimacy. Furthermore, diversity in the membership of directors provide more valuable resources, which affects the improvement of company performance when utilized.

2.3. Top management team

Top management team (TMT) is defined as a coalition of dominant or influential actors in an organization (Pettigrew, 1992), or top executives who have a direct influence on strategy formulation (Finkelstein & Hambrick, 1996; Nielsen, 2010). Based on the relation to TMT, the

1
2
3 corporate governance literature explained that there were generally two main sets of legal rules
4 in organizational management supervision, namely one and two-tier boards. According to the
5 one-tier system, the roles of the supervisory and executive boards are combined in a single
6 forum known as the directors (Tricker, 2009). However, the dualism of separate management
7 and supervisory boards were utilized, based on the two-tier system (Jungmann, 2007). The one-
8 tier board is widely applied by companies in European countries and the UK, while the two-
9 tier system is utilized by the organizations in Germany, the Netherlands, Austria, Finland, and
10 Denmark (Jungmann, 2007).

11
12
13
14
15
16
17 The management supervisory system of companies in Indonesia adheres to a two-tier
18 board system, where shareholders appoint a group of operation managers (management), which
19 are represented by directors and supervisors known as the Board of Commissioners. Therefore,
20 there is a separation between the supervisory and management functions (Darmadi, 2011;
21 Budiarti & Sulistyowati, 2014). Based on the governance rules of commercial banks (POJK
22 No. 55/POJK.03/2016), the directors are fully responsible for the management of the
23 organization, while the board of commissioners are obliged to supervise the implementation of
24 duties and responsibilities. These boards are also responsible for providing advice to the
25 directors, based on the business plan of the bank (POJK No. 5 /POJK.03/2016). Based on
26 reference to the definition of TMT (Carpenter, 2002; Finkelstein & Hambrick, 1996; Wiersema
27 & Bantel, 1992), the directors are the TMT group on the governance structure of Indonesian
28 banks.

29 ***2.4. Top Management Team (TMT) Diversity***

30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41 Company performance is a reflection of the TMT characteristics and actions (Hambrick
42 and Mason, 1984). One important such characteristics is TMT diversity. Diversity refers to
43 varieties of attributes among individuals that make people different from one another (Williams
44 & Knippenberg, 1998). Based on the relation to the diversity of the top management team
45 (TMT), Williams and O'Reilly (1998) describe three theoretical perspectives related to diversity
46 used by most researchers as the basis to investigate. The three perspectives are social
47 categorization theory, similarity/attraction theory, and information and decision-making
48 theory. According to social categorization theory and similarity theory, the diversity in TMT
49 tends to have a negative impact as heterogeneity breeds lack of satisfaction in the group,
50 increased turnover, lack of cohesion, and increased conflict. On the other hand, information
51 and decision-making theory suggests that the varieties in group composition can have a direct
52 positive impact through increased skills, abilities, information, and knowledge brought about
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 by diversity, regardless of what happens in the group process. The heterogeneity of group
4 members indicates that different individuals have access to various external information
5 networks which may be beneficial to the group for a better decision-making process that results
6 in improved performance.
7
8
9

10 **2.5. The Effectiveness of Top Management Team meetings**

11
12 Top management team meeting is an activity conducted by TMT members in a
13 company, which is positively related to organizational performances. Several previous studies
14 used different measures to promote the effectiveness of top management team meetings in
15 companies. Bang et al. (2010), used primary data (questionnaires) to measure intra-team
16 behaviour, based on the three dimensions of team effectiveness stated by Hackman (2002), in
17 order to explain the efficiency of TMT meetings. These three dimensions were task
18 performance, relationship quality, and member satisfaction. Furthermore, task performance is
19 the degree to which the productive output of a team (for example, solutions to problems,
20 decisions, ideas) meets or exceeds the goal of raising the problem. The relationship quality is
21 also the degree to which team members treat each other, towards enhancing the ability to work
22 together in an interdependent manner. Also, member satisfaction is the degree to which
23 discussion of agenda items "positively contributes to the learning and personal well-being of
24 individual team members".
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33

34 Several studies with secondary data samples further used the number of TMT meetings
35 in one year as a measure of effectiveness (Harymawan et al. 2020; Almusali and Ku Ismail
36 (2015). Harymawan et al. (2020), explained that more top management team meetings were
37 associated with higher company performance, where more conferences reflected greater
38 effective efforts (for example, through focused communication, constructive dialogue, and
39 quality decision making).
40
41
42
43
44

45 Based on the TMT meeting in Indonesian banks, the directors have been regulated into
46 the governance rules of commercial financial institutions (POJK No. 55/POJK.03/2016 Article
47 20 and Article 31), which stated that every policy and a strategic decision made by the directors
48 should be decided by its meeting. According to the governance rules of public companies
49 (POJK, No. 33/POJK.04/2014 Article 16), it was stated that the Directors should hold regular
50 meetings at least once every month. Al Musali & Ku Ismail (2015) stated that the effectiveness
51 of board meetings plays an important role in reducing the negative effects associated with board
52 membership diversity. In addition, the frequency of board meetings is important in ensuring an
53 in-depth discussion of the company's issues which gives more opportunities to negotiate and
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 define strategies (Vafeas, 1999). The more frequent the intensity of board meetings, the
4 increase the effectiveness of the board (Conger et al. 1998) through knowledge and skills
5 sharing among members of diverse characteristics (Wincent et al. 2010).
6
7

8 9 **2.6. Hypotheses Development**

10 11 **2.6.1. TMT Age Diversity and Performance**

12
13 Membership age diversity has an impact on experience and knowledge at the disposal
14 of the TMT. The literature on TMT identifies age as a proxy for experience (Herrmann & Datta,
15 2005), and age-related diversity implies varieties of experience, values and perceptions
16 (Hambrick & Mason, 1984). Younger age is associated with more flexibility, innovation, and
17 adventuring (Hambrick & Mason, 1984). While older-aged workers are considered to be more
18 experienced, better in judgment, and paying good attention to work ethics and quality (Robbins
19 & Judge, 2015). Even though younger workers tend to be more satisfied with their work, older
20 workers have better relationships with their colleagues and are more committed to the
21 organization (Singh & Sarkar, 2012). Hambrick & Mason (1984) stress that teams consisting
22 of members of different age groups tend to reflect different values, attitudes, or cognitions.
23 Besides, the age of TMT members represents psychological constructs made up of experience,
24 values, and perceptions for collective strategic decision-making influencing company
25 performance (Tanikawa et al. 2017). Furthermore, decision-making theory explains that
26 diversity of ages can have a positive impact on the organization due to the pooled experiences
27 in the group. Contrarily, social categories and similarity theories stated that the diversity of age
28 tends to bring conflict and a negative impact on organizational performance (Williams &
29 O'Reilly, 1998; Pelled et al. 1999).
30
31

32
33 Although there are conflicting views regarding the relationship between age diversity
34 and bank performance, this study still assumed a negative association, based on the
35 perspectives of social categorization and similarity/attraction theories. (Williams & O'Reilly
36 ,1998). By evidence, a previous study confirms a negative relationship between age diversity
37 and performance (Talavera et al. 2017; Tanikawa et al. 2017). Furthermore, negative impact
38 due to age diversity highly causes conflict, which further leads to a longer decision-making
39 process, therefore, reducing the team performance effectiveness. Considering the positions of
40 the existing literature reviewed above, this study formulated and tested the following
41 postulation as its first hypothesis:
42
43

44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58 ***H₁: The diversity of the directors' age has a negative effect on performance.***
59
60

1
2
3 2.6.2. *The moderating role of the effectiveness of board meetings in weakening the negative*
4 *influence of age diversity on performance*
5
6

7 Many previous studies on the relationship between the diversity of directors and
8 company performance were inconsistent in findings. For instance, one of such studies affirms
9 the positive effect of age diversity on performance (Kilduff et al. 2000), while another indicates
10 a negative relationship between the two variables (Tanikawa et al. 2017), and some other
11 findings indicate no influence at all (Nielsen & Nielsen, 2013; Weber & Donahue, 2001). This
12 inconsistency in the previous studies might be probably due to exclusion of some intervening
13 variables (Miller et al. 1998; Pitcher & Smith, 2001; Olson et al. 2006; Mutuku et al. 2013) or
14 moderating variables (Carpenter, 2002) which must be further investigated. Due to differences
15 in experience and perspectives from each age group, the negative impact of age diversity on
16 performance can cause conflict and lack of cohesion (Williams & O'Reilly, 1998).
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

24 The previous literature showed that several variables used as moderating factors to
25 explain the relationship between diversity in TMT, contained other types of diversification
26 (Carpenter, 2002; Boehm et al. 2011; Tanikawa et al. 2017), meetings (Almusali & Ku Ismail,
27 2015), or increased interaction among top management team executives (Boehm et al. 2011).
28 Based on resource dependency theory, Almusali & Ku Ismail (2015) finds a weak link between
29 governance and performance in the context of BOD's diversity which can be overcome by
30 including the effectiveness of BOD's meetings in the model. This implies that the number of
31 meetings can be fashioned as a TMT tool for effective communication and transfer of
32 knowledge and expertise across each age group for better performance (Wincent et al. 2010,
33 Almusali & Ku Ismail, 2015).
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 This study referred to the three dimensions of the team, which was stated by Hackman
42 (2002), namely task performance, relationship quality, and member satisfaction. Furthermore,
43 the author assumed that high-intensity meetings provided more time for TMT to discuss
44 organizational goals and problems, as well as establish a better quality relationship among
45 members. Based on individuals being assumed to have a desire in maintaining levels of "self-
46 esteem" (Williams & O'Reilly 1998), the age diversity in TMT is found to cause conflicts.
47 However, this diversity is still expected to reduce these behaviours, due to high frequency of
48 meetings, which in turn leads to the emergence of good individual potentials. Harymawan et
49 al. (2020), indicated that top management team meetings were positively related to company
50 performance, while further suggesting that more TMT conferences represented higher effective
51 efforts. The study of Bagire et al. (2015), also explained that meetings provided a forum for
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 decision making, communication, motivation, interpersonal relationships, and dispute
4 resolution.
5

6 Mutuku et al. (2013), explained that organizations should encourage diverse TMTs to
7 conduct meetings, in order to identify the root cause of problems. This was because the forum
8 provided an opportunity to generate multiple options, through a brainstorming process.
9 Furthermore, TMT meetings allow the sharing of information and desired allocation of
10 resources. Based on these meetings, diverse members are found to improve communication
11 and develop new ideas.in order to enhance bank services. Vafeas (1999), also found that firm
12 performance increased when there was an increment in the frequency of board meetings. Based
13 on the reviewed literature above, this study formulates and tests the following as the second
14 hypothesis:
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 ***H2: Effectiveness of TMT meetings moderates (weakens) the negative relationship between***
23 ***of directors' age diversity (TMT) and performance.***
24
25

26 3. Methodology

27 3.1. Research Data and Samples

28
29 The population for the study was commercial banks registered with Bank Indonesia for
30 the period 2010-2016, with the exclusion of the regional development banks (BPD) not listed
31 on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX). Through sampling, an unbalanced panel data
32 consisting of 40 groups with observations of 228 was obtained. The study analysed the
33 secondary data sourced from banks annual reports, bank websites, bank news releases or
34 governance reports, and banks financial statements.
35
36
37
38
39
40
41

42 3.2. Research Model

43 The model for testing the hyphoteses in this research is shown in model 1, 2, and 3. The
44 research models were adapted from Almusali & Ku Ismail (2015):
45
46

47 The research model for testing hyphothesis H₁:

$$48 \text{PERFit} = \beta + \beta_1 \text{DIV_AGEit} + \beta_2 \text{DIV_GENit} + \beta_3 \text{SIZE_DIRit} + \beta_4 \text{SIZEit} \\ 49 \quad + \beta_5 \text{LISTit} + \beta_6 \text{LEVit} + \beta_7 \text{GOVit} + \beta_8 \text{FOREIGNit} \quad (1) . (1) \\ 50 \quad + \beta_9 \text{Competitionit} + \epsilon \text{it} \\ 51$$

52 The research model for testing hyphothesis H₂ :

$$53 \text{PERFit} = \beta + \beta_1 \text{DIV_AGEit} + \beta_2 \text{EFEK_DIRit} + \beta_3 \text{DIV_GENit} + \beta_4 \text{SIZE_DIRit} \\ 54 \quad + \beta_5 \text{SIZEit} + \beta_6 \text{LISTit} + \beta_7 \text{LEVit} + \beta_8 \text{GOVit} + \beta_9 \text{FOREIGNit} \\ 55 \quad + \beta_{10} \text{Competitionit} + \epsilon \text{it} \\ 56 \\ 57 \dots\dots\dots (2) \\ 58 \\ 59 \\ 60$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 \text{PERF}_{it} = & \beta + \beta_1 \text{DIV_AGE}_{it} + \beta_2 \text{EFEK_DIR}_{it} + \beta_3 \text{DIV_AGE} * \text{EFEK_DIR}_{it} \\
 & + \beta_4 \text{DIV_GEN}_{it} + \beta_5 \text{SIZE_DIR}_{it} + \beta_6 \text{SIZE}_{it} + \beta_7 \text{LIST}_{it} + \beta_8 \text{LEV}_{it} \\
 & + \beta_9 \text{GOV}_{it} + \beta_{10} \text{FOREIGN}_{it} + \beta_{11} \text{Competition}_{it} + \varepsilon_{it}
 \end{aligned}
 \tag{3}$$

Where:

PERF is the current performance of banking as measured by return on equity (ROE) and return on assets (ROA) in the bank i in year t ; DIV_AGE is the bank directors' age diversity measured using the coefficient of variation; EFEK_DIR is the effectiveness of directors (TMT), measured by the number of directors meetings in a year at bank i in year t ; DIV_GEN : gender diversity of directors in the Index, SIZE is the size of bank i in year t in \log_{aset} ; SIZE_DIR is the number of directors in the bank; LEV is total debt leverage divided by total assets; LIST is a bank listed in the IDX with a value of 1 and 0 for others. GOV is a dummy variable 1 if the bank is owned by the government, and 0 for others; FOREIGN is a dummy variable 1 if it is a foreign bank and 0 otherwise. Competition is the level of competition at the bank level, competitiveness is seen from the cost price margin.

3.3. Operationalization of Defined Variables

3.3.1. *Dependent Variable: Bank Performance (PERF)*

The bank's performance in this study was measured using three indicators namely; financial performance in terms of profitability measured using the ratio approach, namely return on equity (ROE) and return on assets (ROA) (Tanikawa, 2017). ROE is a measure of financial performance calculated by dividing net income by average shareholders' equity; while ROA is obtained from pre-tax profit divided by average total assets.

3.3.2. *Independent Variables: Age Diversity (DIV_AGE)*

The age diversity of directors was calculated using the coefficient of variation, which was calculated as thus: Variation coefficient = (σ / x) , where σ is the standard deviation and x is the mean (Bantel & Jackson, 1989; Wiersema & Bantel, 1992; Bedeian & Mossholder, 2000).

3.3.3. *Moderating Variable: Effectiveness of TMT meetings*

According to Al Musali & Ku Ismail (2015) and Harymawan et al. (2020), the frequency of directors (TMT) meetings was measured as a proxy for TMT meeting effectiveness. This proposition was based on the idea that meeting frequency reduced the negative effect of TMT diversity. Therefore, the interaction between the diversification and effectiveness of TMT meetings improved performance by attenuating the negative impact of age diversity, which in turn created positive effects.

3.3.4. Control Variables

In this study, the control variables consisted of bank size, gender diversity, number on directors, listing status on IDX, leverage, ownership and competition. The size of the bank (SIZE) was derived from the natural log of total assets (Oyewole et al. 2013). This SIZE was further linked to bank performance, due to the abilities of larger financial institutions to achieve cost reductions, based on scale economies. De Andres & Vallelado (2008), suggested that growth was a major factor in determining profitability, although large banks presented lower costs and higher market power. Therefore, this study considered the logarithm of total assets (Setiyono & Tarazi, 2014; Diaz Fernandez et al. 2014). DIV_GEN is gender diversity of directors in the Index (Schwab et al. 2015). Based on being similar to age diversity, this gender diversification was observable (Miliken & Martin, 1996), due to being associated with better analytical processes, as well as access to information and decision-making. It also increased completeness in decision-making, and improved organizational performance (Carpenter, 2002). SIZE_DIR is the number of directors in the bank, where the increase in size and diversity of these executives provided benefits for the company. This was due to the creation of a network with external parties, while also ensuring the availability of resources (Wijayanti & Mutmainah, 2012). LIST indicated that the bank was registered or not on the IDX (Setiyono & Tarazi, 2014), a value of 1 was assigned if the listing was on the stock exchange and 0 otherwise. A bank that is listed on the stock exchange is expected to be monitored more and subject to stronger market discipline which leads to better performance. LEV is total debt leverage divided by total assets; GOV is bank ownership, dummy variable code 1 was assigned if there was government ownership of banks, and 0 otherwise (Shuying et al. 2017).). Li & Simerly (1998), showed that bank ownership structure affected the level of managerial supervision, based on an effort to improve performances. According to the conventional efficiency-based economic perspective, state ownership played a minor role in promoting innovation and firm performances. (Zhou et al. 2016). FOREIGN was the ownership of the bank, dummy variable 1 was assigned if there was foreign ownership and 0 otherwise (Setiyono & Tarazi, 2014). Furthermore, the presence of foreign owners caused lower financial intermediation costs (namely spreads or lower margins), which in turn led to lowering profitability (Claessens et al. 2001). The Competition variable was a measure of the level of competition at the company level; the ability to compete was seen from the cost price margin (Bos et al. 2013). Competition also stimulated bank innovation activities, as well as ensured more efficiency in screening and monitoring borrowers, which in turn affected risk-taking and profitability (Hu & Xie, 2016).

3.3.5. *Analysis Method*

The study employed a panel data model and the Chow and Hausman test was applied to fit the best regression model between pooled least square, fixed effect, or random effect. The panel data analysis estimators used the generalized least square (GLS) method. Heteroskedastic violations were overcome by adding the "vce (robust)" option when carrying out the regression command in STATA (Cameron & Trivedi, 2009). For testing classic assumptions for multicollinearity, the VIF (Variance Inflation Factor) test was applied, where the mean VIF above 10 indicates multicollinearity. Based on the VIF test for each test model, there were multicollinearities for several test variables. The violation of multicollinearity in this study was treated by centering and eliminating the independent variables that caused multicollinearity.

4. Results and Discussion

The study was conducted on 40 selected banks registered with the Bank Indonesia from 2010-2016 with a total of 228 observations. The 40 banks consisted of 4 state-owned banks, 3 regional development banks (BPD), 26 private banks, and 7 sharia commercial banks. Data were extracted for analysis from the financial report, annual report, bank governance reports, and bank websites.

Table 1 shows the descriptive analysis and multivariate analysis, which consists of means, standard deviations, and correlations for the variables selected to explain the effect of TMT diversity on performance with the effectiveness of TMT meetings as a moderating variable.

Testing the hypothesis H_1 to examine the effect of age diversity on performance (ROE and ROA), the regression results in Table 2 show that in model 1, age diversity does not affect performance (ROE and ROA), the value of each coefficient is not significant. The coefficient of the effect on ROE is -0.090 and a p-value is 0.391 (p-value > 0.1) with a R^2 value of 44.24%. For the effect on ROA, the coefficient is -0.017 with a p value of 0.471 (p-value > 0.1) and a R^2 value of 52.49%. Since the p-value is not significant, the decision indicates that H_1 is not accepted.

The results of this study support previous findings that found no effect of age diversity on performance (Weber & Donahue, 2001 ;Nielsen & Nielsen, 2013; Rompis et al. 2018). The absence of the influence of age diversity on performance might be due to several probable reasons which include the fact that varieties of experience can be generated through age diversity, however, it is less related to the group's task and work done (Pelled, 1996; Pelled et


1
2
3 al. 1999). On the other hand, attributes related to age diversity form the context of social
4 relationships that are less related to team goals. Furthermore, based on the upper echelon
5 theory, the characteristics of individual members of TMT have an impact on strategic action,
6 which in turn can be linked to company performance (Hambrick & Mason, 1984). Homberg
7 and Bui (2013) and several other studies have linked it to decision-making and cognition. Thus,
8 some previous researchers suggested that the relationship between TMT diversity and
9 performance should be mediated by a variable that shows the outcomes of TMT to reveal when
10 and how TMT diversity can affect organizational performance (Miller et al. 1998; Pitcher &
11 Smith. 2001; Carpenter, 2002; Kochan et al. 2003; Olson et al. 2006; Mutuku et al. 2013). In
12 addition to the use of mediating or intervening variables, some researchers also suggested using
13 moderating variables (Carpenter, 2002; Mutuku et al. 2013).

14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
Based on several suggestions from a previous study that there was no relationship
between TMT diversity and performance, it was possible that moderating variables were not
included in the research model (Carpenter, 2002). Therefore, further tests were carried out by
considering moderating variables related to TMT, which were expected to clarify how the
diversity of the top management team affected company performance. This study also used a
one-year meeting frequency conducted by TMT, as a moderating variable. Furthermore,
previous literature explained that more meetings reflected more effective efforts (e.g., focused
communication, constructive dialogue, and quality decision-making), in order to improve
company performances (Harymawan et al. 2020).

In Model 2 as shown in table 2, when the effectiveness of the TMT meeting
(EFEK_DIR) is included in the equation the result remains insignificant with an R² value of
44.41% for ROE performance, a R² value of 51.88% for ROA performance. In Model 3, when
the interaction between DIV_AGE and EFEK_DIR (DIV_AGE * EFEK_DIR) is included in
the equation, the regression results show the coefficient value of -1.898 for the impact of
DIV_AGE on performance (ROE) with a p-value of 0.046 (p-value <0.05). Similarly, the
coefficient value of DIV_AGE * EFEK_DIR on ROE is 1.44 with a p-value of 0.026 (p-value
<0.05) and R² of 45.12%. Therefore, the change in R² from model 2 to 3 was 0.71%. Likewise,
the value of the DIV_AGE variable coefficient on performance (ROA) shows the same
direction of -0.241 and a p-value of 0.017 (p-value <0.05), and the variable coefficient value
of DIV_AGE * EFEK_DIR on ROA is 0.171 with a p-value of 0.011 (p-value <0.05) with R²
of 53,37%. Therefore, the change in R² from model 2 to 3 was 1.49%. The regression results
of model 3 show an increase in R² compared to model 1 and model 2 , and the regression results
for the interaction of the DIV_AGE and EFEK_DIR variables show significant results. The

1
2
3 regression results show that there is a significant effect of the moderator variable on the
4 dependent variable as a pure moderator. Therefore, the H2 hypothesis is accepted and it infers
5 that the effectiveness of board meetings (TMT) moderates the influence of age-related diversity
6 of directors (TMT) on the performance.
7
8
9

10 The graph of the relationship between bank performance and age diversity of directors
11 with meeting effectiveness, is shown in Figure 1. Based on the results of the regression in
12 model 2 (when age diversity interacted with the effectiveness of meetings), a positive
13 relationship to performance was observed. Therefore, the line shifted higher when the number
14 of meetings were greater, indicating an increase in performance.
15
16
17
18
19
20
21



22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39 Figure 1. Graphical representation of the relationship between TMT age Diversity and
40 Performance, with Frequency of meeting.
41
42
43

44 These results supported the upper echelon and resource dependency theories, as well
45 as strongly demonstrate previous studies' suggestion for a moderating variable to examine the
46 relationship between diversity and performance (Carpenter, 2002; Olson et al. 2006). The upper
47 echelon theory stated that the experience, values, and personality of the directors had a major
48 influence on the meeting discussion and decisions, regarding strategy choices (Hambrick &
49 Mason, 1984). Therefore, the results indicated that when TMT groups with different age
50 backgrounds conducted the meeting, they have more opportunities to provide ideas from
51 experiences and knowledges. Furthermore, it was possible for the age diversity of TMT to build
52 wider relationships with external parties, which provides increased opportunities for banking
53 customers. This was found to be in line with resource dependence theory (Pfefer & Salancik,
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 1978). Although it is undeniable that the diversity of different individual ages causes "Self-
4 esteem", the high intensity of these encounters should be reduced, in order to create better
5 relationship qualities among TMT members. A good top management team relationship also
6 encourage constructive discussions, which generate bright ideas for the company.
7
8

9
10 By implication, the results show that the interactions between age diversity and the
11 effectiveness of top management meetings have a positive effect on performance. The possible
12 reason for this is that the positive age diversity is capable of generating potential for a better
13 experience and rich knowledge from different age groups, while the negative impact of
14 selfishness due to heterogeneity is reduced through the effective meetings of the directors. The
15 more frequent the meetings of directors of various ages, the increase the directors'
16 effectiveness, and best performance impacting decision is easily attained through collaboration
17 and sharing of knowledge and expertise. The findings of this study support the application of
18 rules on governance and emphasise the importance of diversity in directors' membership and
19 the need for more intensive directors' meetings.
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

29 **5. Conclusion**

30
31 The main objective of this study is to explain the relationship between the age-related
32 TMT diversity and performance, and the moderating role of the effectiveness of TMT meetings
33 in weakening the negative influence of TMT diversity on performance. The analysis results
34 using panel data indicate that age diversity, measured by variation coefficient, has no direct
35 effect on performance. The results of the regression model show the moderating role of the
36 effectiveness of TMT meetings on the effect of TMT age diversity on performance in
37 commercial banks in Indonesia.
38
39
40
41
42

43 This research is expected to contribute towards corporate governance, as an additional
44 literature and input for future studies, based on the influence of TMT age diversity on bank
45 performance, as well as the role of meeting effectiveness in moderating the effects. The results
46 of the study have several implications. First, this research provides a deeper understanding of
47 the benefits of director diversity (TMT) to the company's performance based on the
48 effectiveness of board meetings in producing the positive effects that reduce the negative
49 impact of the TMT diversity. Second, this research is useful for regulators as an input in making
50 policies related to membership of corporate organs, especially directors, to support the
51 implementation of better corporate governance in Indonesia. Furthermore, commercial banks
52 in Indonesia should implement good corporate governance, including the implementation of
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

1
2
3 policies on age diversity. This was due to being empirically confirmed to affect bank
4 performance, through the occurrence of innovative and creative attitudes during discussions in
5 meetings. The research also provided input to the Financial Services Authority (OJK). This
6 was based on being the Indonesian banking regulator that encouraged the application of
7 commercial banks' governance rules into the Financial Services Authority Regulation No.
8 55/POJK.03/2016, where there was no policy regarding the director diversity. Third, this study
9 is useful to the investors in making consideration regarding the prediction of company
10 performance based on the directors' characteristics in the bank and the need for information on
11 internal activities at TMT, which were often disclosed in the annual report. This was because
12 these activities were confirmed to increase the TMT performance effectiveness, which in turn
13 led to an impact on improving company efficiency.
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21

22 The limitations of the study include the use of only samples of the banks registered
23 with Bank Indonesia. The subsequent research could use cross-country bank samples, due to
24 each country having a TMT diversity policy that differed in its corporate governance rules.
25 Based on the use of cross-country data, experts were allowed to obtain different results on the
26 same topic. In addition, the research uses age-related diversity variables only. Therefore,
27 further research could consider other types of diversity such as education, functional, or tenure.
28 Furthermore, the study only used the number of TMT meetings in one year as a moderating
29 variable, without considering the quality. This was due to the utilization of secondary data,
30 which were obtained from the annual report of the company. Therefore, further research should
31 utilize the meeting quality obtained from primary data, which considered the TMT intra-
32 personal relationship as a measure of effectiveness (Bang et al., 2010).
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40

41 **Acknowledgments**

42
43 We would like to acknowledge the financial support provided by Universitas Indonesia through
44 the PITMA A grant.
45
46
47

48 **References**

- 49 Al-Musali, M.A.K.M and Ku Ismail, K.N.I. (2015). Board diversity and intellectual capital
50 performance: The moderating role of the effectiveness of board meetings. *Accounting*
51 *Research Journal*, Vol. 28 Iss 3 pp. 268 – 283. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/ARJ-01-2014-0006>.
52
53 Bagire, V., Byarugaba, J., Kyogabiirwe, J , (2015), "Organizational meetings: management and
54 benefits", *Journal of Management Development*, Vol. 34 Iss 8 pp. 960 - 972
55 <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/JMD-03-2014-0023>
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60
- Bang, H., Fuglesang, S. L., Ovesen, M. R. & Eilertsen, D. E. (2010). Effectiveness in top management group meetings: The role of goal clarity, focused communication, and learning behavior. *Scandinavian Journal of Psychology*, 51, 253–261.
- Bantel, K., and Jackson, S. (1989). Top Management and Innovations in banking: Does Composition of the team make a difference? *Strategic Management Journal*, 10, 107-124. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250100709>.
- Bedeian, A.G., Mossholder, K.W. (2000) On the Use of The Coefficient of Variation as A Measure of Diversity. *Organizational Research Methods*, p 285-297. <https://doi.org/10.1177/109442810033005>.
- Bos, J. W. B., Kolari, J. W., Van Lamoen, R. C. R., (2013). Competition and innovation: Evidence from financial service. *Journal of Banking and Finance*, 37, 1590–1601.
- Boehm S.A., Baumgaertner M.K., Dwertmann D.J.G., Kunze F. (2011) Age diversity and its performance implications – Analysing a major future workforce trend. In: Boppel M., Boehm S., Kunisch S. (eds) *From Grey to Silver*. Springer, Berlin, Heidelberg. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-642-15594-9_11
- Bozec, Richard., Dia, Mohamed., Bozec, Yves. (2010). Governance–Performance Relationship: A Re-examination Using Technical Efficiency Measures, *British Journal of Management*, 21, pp. 684–700. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-8551.2008.00624.x>
- Bryant, P. and Davis, C. (2012). Regulated change effects on board of directors: A look at agency theory and resource dependency theory. *Academy of Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 11, No. 2, pp.1-15.
- Budiarti. E., and Sulistyowati, C. (2014). Ownership Structure and Company Board Structure. *Journal of Theoretical and Applied Management Year 7*. No. 3, December
- Cameron, A.C. and P.K. Trivedi. (2009). *Micro econometrics Using Stata*. Stata Press.
- Carpenter, M.A. (2002). The Implications of Strategy and Social Context for The Relationship Between Top Management Team Heterogeneity and Firm Performance. *Strategic Management Journal*., 23: 275–284. DOI: 10.1002/smj.226.
- Chan, S.G., and Heang, L.T., (2010). Corporate Governance, Board Diversity and Bank Efficiency: The Case of Commercial Banks in Malaysia, *The Asian Business & Management Conference- Osaka, Japan*.
- Claessens, S., Demirgüç-Kunt, A., Huizinga, H., 2001. How does foreign entry affect domestic banking markets? *Journal of Banking & Finance* 25, 891-911.
- Conger, J., Finegold, D., Lawler III, E. (1998). Appraising Boardroom Performance. *Harvard Business Review*, 76: 136-148.
- Darmadi, S. (2011),” Board diversity and firm performance: the Indonesian evidence”, *Corporate Ownership and Control*, Vol 8.
- De Andrés, P., & Vallelado, E. (2008). Corporate governance in banking: The role of the board of directors. *Journal of Banking & Finance*, 32(12), 2570-2580. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.jbankfin.2008.05.008>
- Díaz-Fernández, M.C., González-Rodríguez, M.R., Pawlak. M ., (2014),”Top management demographic characteristics and company performance”, *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, Vol. 114 Iss 3 pp. 365 - 386 ,<http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/IMDS-04-2013-0210>
- Falikhah, N. (2017). Bonus Demografi Peluang dan Tantangan Bagi Indonesia. *Alhadharah Jurnal Ilmu Dakwah* 16(32) Doi:10.18592/Alhadharah.V16i32.1992.
- Finkelstein, S., and Hambrick, D.C. (1996). *Strategic Leadership: Top Executives and Their Effects on Organizations* (West Strategic Management Series).
- Hackman, J. R. (2002). *Leading teams: Setting the stage for great performances*. Boston: Harvard Business School Press.

- 1
2
3 Hambrick, D.C., and Mason, P.A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as reflection of its
4 top managers. *Academy of Management Review*, 9, 193-206.
- 5 Harymawan, I., Nasih, M., Nowland, J. (2020). Top management team meetings and firm
6 performance. *Accounting Research Journal*, 1030-9616 DOI 10.1108/ARJ-03-2020-
7 0062
- 8 Herrmann, P., and Datta, D.K. (2005). Relationships between Top Management Team
9 Characteristics and International Diversification: An Empirical Investigation. *British*
10 *Journal of Management*, Vol. 16, 69–78, DOI: 10.1111/j.1467-8551.2005.00429.x
- 11 Homberg, F., and Bui, H.T.M. (2013). Top Management Team Diversity: A Systematic
12 Review. *Group & Organization Management* 38(4) 455–479.
13 <https://doi.org/10.1177/1059601113493925>.
- 14 Jungmann, C.M., (2007), The Effectiveness of Corporate Governance in One-Tier and Two-
15 Tier Board Systems - Evidence from the UK and Germany, *European Company and*
16 *Financial Law Review* 3(4). DOI:10.1515/ECFR.2006.019
- 17 Kilduff, M., Angelmar, R., Mehra, A. (2000). Top Management-Team Diversity and Firm
18 Performance: Examining the Role of Cognitions. *Organization Science* 11(1):21-34.
- 19 Kochan, T., Bezrukova, K., Ely, R. J., Jackson, S., Joshi, A., Jehn, K., Leonard, J., Levine, D.,
20 Thomas, D. (2003). The effects of diversity on business performance: Report of the
21 diversity research network. *Human Resource Management*, 42, 3-21.
- 22 Li, M. & R. L. Simerly. 1998. The Moderating Effect of Environmental Dynamism on the
23 Ownership and Performance Relationship. *Strategic Management Journal*, 19, 169-179.
- 24 Mahrani, M and Soewarno, N. (2018). The effect of good corporate governance mechanism
25 and corporate social responsibility on financial performance with earnings management
26 as mediating variable. *Asian Journal of Accounting Research*, 41-59.
- 27 Mutuku, C., Obonyo, P.K., Awino, Z.B. (2013). Top Management Team Diversity, Quality of
28 Decisions and Performance of Commercial Banks in Kenya. *Asian Journal of*
29 *Humanities and Social Sciences* (AJHSS), Volume 1—Issue 3, November - ISSN:
30 2320-9720.
- 31 Miller, C. C., Burke, L. M., Glick, W. H. (1998). Cognitive diversity among upper-echelon
32 executives: Implications for strategic decision processes. *Strategic Management*
33 *Journal*, 19, 39-58. [https://doi.org/10.1002/\(SICI\)1097-0266\(199801\)19:1<39::AID-](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199801)19:1<39::AID-SMJ932>3.0.CO;2-A)
34 [SMJ932>3.0.CO;2-A](https://doi.org/10.1002/(SICI)1097-0266(199801)19:1<39::AID-SMJ932>3.0.CO;2-A).
- 35 Nielsen, S. (2010). Top Management Team Diversity: A Review of Theories and
36 Methodologies. *International Journal of Management Reviews*.PP.301-316.
37 <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2370.2009.00263.x>.
- 38 Nielsen, B. B. and Nielsen, S. (2013), “Top management team nationality diversity and firm
39 performance: A multilevel study”, *Strategic Management Journal*, Vol. 34, pp. 373–
40 382. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2021>.
- 41 Olson, B.J, Parayitam, S., Twigg, N.W. (2006). Mediating Role of Strategic Choice Between
42 Top Management Team Diversity and Firm Performance: Upper Echelons Theory
43 Revisited. *Journal of Business and Management*; 2006; 12, 2; ProQuest pg. 111.
- 44 Oyewole, O.S., Abba, M., El-Maude., Gambo, J. (2013). E-banking and Bank Performance:
45 Evidence from Nigeria. *International Journal of Scientific Engineering and Technology*
46 (ISSN: 2277-1581) Volume No.2, Issue No.8, pp: 766-771.
- 47 Ozer, M. (2010), “Top management teams and corporate political activity: Do top management
48 teams have influence on corporate political activity?”, *Journal of Business Research*,
49 Vol. 63, pp. 1196–1201.
- 50 Pelled, L. H. (1996). Demographic diversity, conflict, and work group outcomes: an
51 intervening process theory. *Organization Science*, 7 (6), 615–631.
- 52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

- 1
2
3 Pelled, L.H., Eisenhardt, K.M. and Xin, K.R. (1999). Exploring the black box: an analysis of
4 work group diversity, conflict, and performance. *Administrative Science Quarterly*,
5 Vol. 44, pp. 1-28. <https://doi.org/10.2307/2667029>.
6
7 Pettigrew, A. M. (1992). On studying managerial elites. *Strategic Management Journal*, 13,
8 163–182. <https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.4250130911>.
9
10 Pfeffer, J. and Salancik, G. (1978). *The External Control of Organizations A Resource*
11 *Dependence Perspective*. Harper & Row, New York.
12
13 Pillai, Rekha, Al-Malkawi, Husam-Aldin Nizar,. (2017). On the relationship between corporate
14 governance and firm performance: Evidence from GCC countries. *Research in*
15 *International Business and Finance* <http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.ribaf.2017.07.110>
16
17 Pitcher, P., and Smith, A.D., (2001). Top Management Team Heterogeneity: Personality,
18 Power, and Proxies. *Organization Science* 12, 1–18. doi:10.1287/orsc.12.1.1.10120
19
20 Ramli, J., A. and Ramli, M., I. (2016). Corporate Governance and Corporate Performance of
21 Malaysian Companies: Examining from an Islamic Perspective. *Procedia Economics*
22 *and Finance* 35, 146-155.
23
24 Robbins, S.P., and Judge, T. A. (2015). *Organizational Behavior*. 16 th edition, published by
25 Pearson Educationm Inc.
26
27 Rompis, N.K., Worang, F.G., Tulung, J.E., (2018) Effect Of Board Size, Diversity Of Age And
28 Gender Diversity On Financial Performance Of Regional Development Banks In All
29 Indonesia Book 2 Year 2014-2016. *Jurnal EMBA* Vol.6 No.4 September, Hal. 2628 –
30 2637.
31
32 Schwab,A., Werbel,J.D., Hofmann, H., Henriques, P.L., (2015). Managerial Gender Diversity
33 and Firm Performance: An Integration of Different Theoretical Perspectives. *Group &*
34 *Organization Management* 1–27
35
36 Setiyono B., and Tarazi, A. (2014). Does Diversity of Bank Board Members Affect
37 Performance and Risk? Evidence from Emeging Market. [https://hal-unilim.archives-](https://hal-unilim.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01070988)
38 [ouvertes.fr/hal-01070988](https://hal-unilim.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-01070988).
39
40 Shuying, W., Shuijuan, Z., Li bobo., (2017).Effect of diversity on top management team to the
41 bank's innovation ability-based on the nature of ownership perspective. *Procedia*
42 *Engineering* 174, 240 – 245
43
44 Singh, M., and Sarkar, A. (2012). The Relationship Between Psychological Empowerment and
45 Innovative Behavior. *Journal of Personnel Psychology* 2, 127-137. DOI: 10.1027/1866-
46 5888/a000065.
47
48 Talavera, O. Yin, S., Zhang, M. (2017). Age diversity, directors' personal values, and bank
49 performance. *International Review of Financial Analysis*,
50 doi:10.1016/j.irfa.2017.10.007
51
52 Tanikawa., Kim, S., Jung, Y. (2017). Top management team diversity and firm performance:
53 exploring a function of age. *Team Performance Management: An International Journal*,
54 Vol. 23 Issue: ¾. DOI:10.1108/TPM-06-2016-0027.
55
56 Tanna, S., Pasiouras, F., Nnadi, M. (2011). The Effect of board size and composition on the
57 efficiency of UK banks. *International Journal of the Economics of Business*, 18(3): 441-
58 462. DOI: 10.1080/13571516.2011.618617.
59
60 Tricker, R.I, (2009). *Corporate Governance - Principles, Policies and Practices*. Oxford :
Oxford University Press.
Vafeas, N. (1999). Board meeting frequency and firm performance. *Journal of Financial*
Economics, 53(1), 113–142. DOI:10.1016/s0304-405x(99)00018-5.
Van Knippenberg, D and Schippers, M. C. (2007). Work Group Diversity. *Article in Annual*
Review of Psychology, February.

- 1
2
3 Webber, S.S., and Donahue, L.M. (2001). Impact of highly and less job related diversity on
4 work group cohesion and performance: a meta-analysis. *Journal of Management* 27,
5 141–162. <https://doi.org/10.1177/014920630102700202>.
6
7 Wiersema, M., and Bantel, K.A. (1992). Top management team demography and corporate
8 strategic change. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35, 91-121.
9 <https://doi.org/10.5465/256474>.
10
11 Williams K, and Knippenberg C. (1998). Forty years of diversity research: a review. In
12 *Research in Organizational Behavior*, Staw BM, Cummings LL (eds). JAI
13 Press:Greenwich, CT; 77–140.
14
15 Williams, K. Y., and O'Reilly, C. A. (1998). Demography and diversity in organization: A
16 Review of 40 Years of Research. *Res Organ Behav*, Vol. 54, 77-140.
17
18 Wijayanti and Mutmainah, S. (2012). Pengaruh Penerapan Corporate Governance Terhadap
19 Kinerja Keuangan Pada Perusahaan Perbankan Yang Terdaftar Di Bursa Efek Indonesia
20 (BEI) Tahun 2009-2011 *Diponegoro Journal Of Accounting* Volume 1, Nomor 2,
21 Halaman 1-15
22
23 Wincent, J., Anokhin, S. and Ortqvist, D. (2010), “Does network board capital matter? A study
24 of innovative performance in strategicSMEnetworks”, *Journal of Business Research*,
25 Vol. 63 No. 3, pp. 265-275. DOI:10.1016/J.JBUSRES.2009.03.012.
26
27 Zabri, Shafie Mohamed & Ahmad, Kamila. (2015). Corporate Governance Practices and Firm
28 Performance: Evidence from Top 100 Public Listed Companies in Malaysia. *Procedia*
29 *economics and Finance* 35 (2016) 287 – 296.
30
31 Zhou K.Z., Gao, G.Y., Zhao, H. (2016). “State Ownership and Firm Innovation in China: An
32 Integrated View of Institutional and Efficiency Logics, *Administrative Science*
33 *Quarterly* 1–30
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47
48
49
50
51
52
53
54
55
56
57
58
59
60

Table

Table I. Means, Standard Deviations and Correlations

Variables	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10	11	12
1. ROE	0.016	0.019	1											
2. ROA	0.11	0.16	0.89	1										

3. DIV_AGE	0.09	0.04	-0.10	-0.12	1									
4. EFEK_DIR	1.43	0.25	0.27	0.22	-0.26	1								
			***	***	***									
5. DIV_GEN	0.20	0.19	0.05	0.03	0.13	-0.06	1							
				*										
6. SIZE	13.53	0.70	0.28	0.29	-0.08	0.42	0.13	1						
			***	***		***	**							
7. SIZE_DIR	6.61	2.65	0.27	0.28	0.08	0.29	0.27	0.85	1					
			***	***		***	***	***						
8. LIST	0.74	0.43	-0.03	0.04	0.13	-0.17	0.11	0.38	0.50	1				
					**	**	*	***	***					
9. LEV	0.72	0.28	0.11	0.18	0.14	-0.35	0.10	0.27	0.45	0.68	1			
				***	**	***		***	***	***				
10. GOV	0.18	0.38	0.28	0.29	-0.21	0.22	-	0.42	0.18	0.10	0.07	1		
			***	***	**	***	0.21	***	**					
							**							
11. FOREIGN	0.12	0.33	-0.13	-0.11	-0.03	-0.08	0.12	-0.06	-0.10	0.08	-0.04	-0.18	1	
			*	*			*					**		
12. Competition	0.48	0.18	-0.64	-0.71	0.10	-0.20	-	-0.27	-0.28	0.00	0.25	-0.20	0.03	1
			***	***		**	0.00	***	***		***	**		

Notes: n = 128; ***, **, * Significance at the 1%, 5%, 10%, level

Table II. The Regression Results of Model 1, 2, and 3. (Hypothesis H1 and H2)

Description	Predict	Var. Dep: PERF= ROE			Var. Dep: PERF = ROA		
		Model 1	Model 2	Model 3	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Independent Variable		Coef. (Prob t-stat)			Coef. (Prob t-stat)		
DIV_AGE	-	-0.090 (0.391)	-0.091 (0.341)	-1.898 (0.046**)	-0.017 (0.471)	-0.003 (0.487)	-0.241 (0.017**)
EFEK_DIR	+		0.006 (0.498)	-0.149 (0.056*)		-0.0042 (0.186)	-0.022 (0.010**)
Interaction							
DIV_AGE*EFEK_DIR	+			1.44 (0.026**)			0.171 (0.011**)
Control Variable's							
DIV_GEN	+/-	-0.0257 (0.620)	-0.023 (0.658)	-0.029 (0.573)	-0.002 (0.613)	-0.0035 (0.524)	-0.004 (0.461)
SIZE	+/-	-0.3111 (0.304)	-0.313 (0.303)	0.030 (0.289)	0.0001 (0.967)	0.0004 (0.889)	0.0004 (0.879)
SIZE_DIR	+/-	0.196 (0.013**)	0.019 (0.017**)	0.020 (0.009***)	0.001 (0.155)	0.0013 (0.117)	0.001 (0.091*)
LIST	+/-	-0.0425 (0.211)	-0.0417 (0.220)	-0.0479 (0.161))	-0.001 (0.750)	-0.0414 (0.694)	-0.001 (0.602))
LEV	+/-	-0.0250 (0.624)	-0.0230 (0.673)	-0.220 (0.687)	-0.001 (0.833)	-0.002 (0.639)	-0.002 (0.644)
GOV	+/-	0.0725 (0.054*)	0.072 (0.053*)	0.073 (0.053*)	0.006 (0.117)	0.007 (0.112)	0.007 (0.053*)
FOREIGN	+/-	-0.0235	-0.023	-0.0233	-0.003	-0.0034	-0.0233

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28
29
30
31
32
33
34
35
36
37
38
39
40
41
42
43
44
45
46
47

		(0.534)	(0.529)	(0.539)	(0.451)	(0.451)	(0.539)
Competition	+/-	-0.463	-0.462	-0.456	-0.056	-0.0572	-0.456
		(0.000***)	(0.000***)	(0.000***)	(0.000***)	(0.000***)	(0.000***)
Cons		0.836	0.830	1.062	0.056	0.0595	1.062
		(0.026)	(0.028)	(0.007)	(0.165)	(0.144)	(0.007)
N		228	228	228	228	228	228
R ²		44.24%	44.41%	45.12%	52.49%	51.88%	53.37%
R ² Change		44.24%	0.17%	0.71%	52.49%	-0.61%	1.49%
Chi2		136.81***	136.69***	140.70***	169.37***	169.82***	140.70***

***, **, * Significance at the 1%, 5%, 10%, level

