The Influence of Corporate Governance for the Indonesian Banking Industry in a Pandemic Period

by Author Author

Submission date: 23-Sep-2022 01:58PM (UTC+0700)
Submission ID: 1906926329
File name: Manuscript_Sutarti_FINAL_1.edited.edited.docx (73.29K)
Word count: 7003
Character count: 41939

The Influence of Corporate Governance for the Indonesian Banking Industry in a Pandemic Period

Abstract

This study was intended to objectively analyze the effect of the Covid-19 pandemic on bank performance in Indonesia and the influence of corporate governance on banks. The sample was 42 banks with 648 observations on the Indonesia Stock Exchange from 2017 to 2020. Furthermore, the data were observed using a panel data set, and the estimation method used was Generalized least square (GLS). The study indicated that the pandemic negatively affect bank performance. The governance variable proxied by the effectiveness of governance had a positive effect on performance. Meanwhile, the governance variable proxied by the number of directors and board of commissioners negatively affect on performance, unlike those proxied by diversity. The hierarchical regression analysis shows that governance effectiveness as a moderating variable can decrease the negative pandemic impact toward performance. Therefore, it is possible to minimize the negative impact of the pandemic on bank performance through effective governance. This is due to the ability to navigate through unexpected situations, identify opportunities, and create new strategies for adapting to the uncertainties caused by the pandemic. Effective governance shows that the bank has executed good corporate governance to improve bank performance, efficiency, and service to stakeholders. This study provides empirical evidence that explores the overall governance effectiveness variable that can affect the relationship between the pandemic and bank performance.

Keywords: Covid-19, performance, corporate governance

1. Introduction

The pandemic has caused a drastic decline in the performance of several companies in different industries, such as airlines, infrastructure, energy, tourism, and banking (Pantano *et al.*, 2020; Shen *et al.*, 2020; Fu and Shen, 2020; Mishra, 2020; Baicu *et al.*, 2020; Karim *et al.*, 2021; Sun *et al.*, 2021). It has acted as a catalyst to increase uncertainty associated with these companies' performances (Elmarzouky *et al.*, 2021), causing non-performing credit, market, and liquidity risks to loom in the banking sector. Furthermore, this decline in credit or financing quality will likely increase due to the cessation of the social and industrial economy and business value chains (Siahaan, 2020) to reduce the bank's financial performance. An example is Bank Negara Indonesia Company (BBNI), where the pandemic caused a 41.6% (YoY) decrease in profit growth from IDR7.63 trillion in the first semester of 2019 to IDR4.45 trillion in 2020. The impact on a company's performance has been examined by previous research (Mirza *et al.*, 2020; Shen *et al.*, 2020, Karim *et al.*, 2021). Shen *et al.* (2020) used a sample of companies in China and showed that the pandemic had a negative effect on small-scale companies' investment or sales income.

The outbreak has caused a change in the government and foreign policies, such as the introduction of lockdown and social distancing (Balasa, 2020; Lateef and Akinsolure, 2021), affecting consumer behavior and sales. Khatib and Nour (2021) discovered that this policy affects the company's market demand and can bankrupt many industries because consumers stay home, halting the economy. In addition, Covid-19 is an extraordinary event with a negative impact on purchasing attitudes and consumption patterns designed by companies and consumer behavior in

retail banking (Baicu *et al.*, 2020; Ltifi and Hichri, 2021). This pandemic puts tremendous pressure on the company, hence the internal organs, including the board of directors as well as commissioners being the corporate governance structure or a two-tier system, need to implement new development methods to counter this adverse effect (Shen *et al.*, 2020; Foss, 2020; Kells, 2020; Liu *et al.*, 2020; Sun *et al.*, 2021). Another study by Elmarzouki *et al*. (2021) classified the pandemic as a systematic risk that requires the role of experts in making different risk mitigation efforts and their ability to disclose it to the public. Moreover, Golubeva (2021) also highlighted the importance of corporate governance infrastructure in dealing with the pandemic.

Khatib and Nour (2021) examined the effect of corporate governance and stated that board diversity significantly improves the company's performance in this crisis. These outcomes are unlike the previous year when board diversity had an inverse relationship with the company's performance. Therefore, the board as well as audit committee meetings before and after Covid-19 significantly and negatively impacted the company's performance. Elmarzouky *et al*. (2021) analyzed how governance moderates the relationship between this pandemic as well as firm performance disclosures in the annual reports. Furthermore, gender diversity as well as board independence moderated the relationship between the Covid-19-related information as well as the performance disclosure level.

Considering the corporate governance variable, Khatib and Nour (2021), as well as Elmarzouky et al. (2021), emphasized the variables related to governance organs such as commissioners, meeting activities, and audit committees. However, issues related to the board of directors and also the effectiveness of the overall implementation of corporate governance have not been studied. Sutarti *et al*. (2021) studied banking in Indonesia and found that in a situation where the governance uses a two-tier system, the organ consists of supervisors, such as commissioners as well as managers controlled by directors. Meanwhile, the banking regulator in this country, known as the Financial Services Authority through Regulation Number 55/POJK.03/2016, has required entities to carry out a self-assessment on the bank governance implementation to determine their overall implementation. This is conducted by compiling an analysis regarding the adequacy as well as the effectiveness of implementing Good Governance principles.

The self-assessment of the Good Corporate Governance (GCG) implementation, stipulated by the Financial Services Authority Regulation Number 55/PJOK.03/2016 and Circular Number 13/SEOJK.03/2017 on the Governance Implementation for Commercial Banks is a process conducted by internal parties to determine conclusions on the corporate governance implementation. The self-assessment includes the implementation of (1) Board of Commissioners' duties and responsibilities, (2) Board of Director's duties as well as responsibilities, (3) Completeness and Committee's duties, (4) Handling Conflict of Interest, (5) Bank's Compliance Function, (6) Internal Audit Function, (7) External Audit Function, (8) Risk Management and Internal Control Function, (9) Provision of Funds to Parties related to Large Debtors, (10) Transparency of Bank's Financial and Non-Financial Conditions, Good Implementation Report

Corporate Governance and Internal Reporting, as well as (11) Bank's Strategic Plan (OJK, 2017). Good corporate governance is said to be harmonious with structure, process, and outcome. The self-assessment results on these 11 criteria were used to determine the ranking of the Governance factors numbered from 1 to 5. Based on this ranking, those in the lower category reflect better governance implementation. The Financial Services Authority (2016) stated that the self-assessment results are to be submitted to the Financial Services Authority as well as published on the bank's website no later than 4 months after the end of the financial year. Previous research examined the effect of Covid-19 on performance directly. Only a few considered the moderating role of governance in performance in developing countries, such as Indonesia.

This present research empirically examines the role of total corporate governance value in moderating the impact of the pandemic on performance to bridge this gap. It adds the effectiveness variable obtained from the composite rating of the self-assessment result on the governance implementation in banks, which was not conducted previously, besides using variables related to the composition of corporate governance bodies, which consist of board size and diversity, as conducted by Khatib and Nour (2021) as well as Elmarzouky *et al.,* (2021). The self-assessment describes the overall implementation value of governance necessary for dealing with conditions of uncertainty (Aprisma and Sudaryati, 2020) and provides theoretical and conceptual contributions. First, it shows the role of bank corporate governance in mitigating the effect of Covid-19 toward performance. Second, the results affect bank governance and regulators because good governance affects performance in stable and uncertain environmental conditions.

The remaining part is presented as follows, section 2 examines the literature as well as develops the hypotheses, Section 3 describes the method, section 4 explains the empirical results as well as additional testing, and section 5 is the conclusion.

2. Literature Review and Hypotheses Development

2.1. Covid-19 and Performance

COVID-19 (CoronaVirus Disease 2019) was first detected in Wuhan, China, in December 2019 as well as spread very quickly to almost every country worldwide, including Indonesia. Several countries have implemented policies to enforce lockdowns and prevent the spread of this virus. Shen *et al*. (2020) described this lockdown as an external factor that can cause a recession. The Indonesian government has implemented a large-scale social restriction policy (PSBB) to suppress the virus spread. This regional quarantine policy, or PSBB, has threatened business and economic value chains, raising concerns that the unemployment and poverty rates are likely to increase. One of the industries affected is financial services, as they begin to feel the threat of non-performing credit, market, and liquidity risk. The decline in credit or financing quality will increase with the cessation of the economic and business value chains in society and industry (Siahaan, 2020).

The global health emergency of Covid-19 is forcing countries to adopt quarantine measures due to its highly contagious nature. However, these measures negatively impact aggregate demand, particularly consumption and exports. This affects customer behavior in banking service

transactions to reduce lending. Baicu *et al*. (2020) explained that the government lockdown measures affect retail consumer behavior, while Shen *et al*. (2020) showed a negative relationship between covid-19 as well as performance, leading to the formulation of the following hypotheses

H1: Ceteris paribus, Covid-19 Pandemic negatively impacts bank performance

2.2.The Moderating Role of Corporate Governance in mitigating the Negative Effects of Covid-19 pandemic on performance

Changes in the dynamic external environment cause contingency issues for the company, hence it needs active management efforts. Contingency theory states that organizational or company performance is a function of "fitness" or the fit between the organization's environment, structure, culture, style, system, and strategy. Meanwhile, structural contingency theory focuses better on the fit between organizational context as well as structure in explaining performance (Van de ven and Drazin, 1984). Lueg and Borisov (2014) stated that contingency theory had attracted much attention in environmental uncertainty. Additionally, these external factors affect organizational performance, from planning and control management to decision-making.

Uncertainty has increased significantly due to Covid-19 (Altig *et al*., 2020; Kenisah *et al*.,2021; Marciszewska, 2021; Val sun *et al*., 2021; Rahman *et al*., 2021; Golubeva; 2021), which has negatively affected the world. Yin *et al*. (2020) explained that uncertainty and misinformation are reflected in people's behavior when meeting needs, specifically those related to financial and banking transactions and customer relations. Furthermore, organizations need to change and establish new strategies and adjust when facing environmental uncertainty caused by natural factors such as Covid-19 (Mishra,2020; Czetwertyński and Sukiennik, 2021). These changes or adjustments occur in institutions, as stated in the new institutional economics (NIE) theory (Wiliansom, 2000). In the case of Covid-19, there is a possibility that the adjustments are at the social level, such as changes in people's behavior. At the institutional level, the government enforces social distancing restrictions and other regulations to prevent or reduce the adverse effects of the pandemic. Meanwhile, companies need to adjust their tools, policies, and strategies at the governance level to decrease transaction costs. Elmarzouky *et al*. (2021) classified Covid-19 as systematic risk, and this means managers are required to have the ability to disclose and mitigate risks caused by the pandemic to build public trust.

Good Corporate Governance (GCG) is essential in mitigating increasingly complex risks and challenges in the banking industry due to the ability to improve bank performance, protect stakeholder interests, as well as improve compliance with applicable laws, regulations, and ethical values. Therefore, implementing good governance is actualized through the GCG system, divided into structure, processes, and governance results to achieve business growth and company sustainability (OJK, 2016). Previously, there was a positive relationship between governance and performance (Sianipar and Wiksuana, 2019; Sutarti, 2019).

Lew et al. (2018) as well as Puni and Anlesinya (2020) interpreted the agency theory concerning the board composition, which includes size and diversity, that most boards have collective expertise with diverse knowledge and experience and a broader relationship with the

external environment. This can improve coordination in their duties and strategic decision-making processes. Previous literature showed a positive relationship between board size as well as firm performance (Gaur *et al.,* 2015; Pashar and Gupta, 2021; Puni and Anlensinya, 2020). For example, the resource dependence theory on the director's and commissioners' diversity (Pfeffer and Salancik., 1978) states that resources need to be provided by individuals in the company, while the upper echelon theory explains that strategic choices as well as performance levels are predicted partially from the background characteristics of the Top Management Team (TMT) (Hambrick and Mason, 1984). Bolo *et al*. (2011) indicated that the diversity on the board creates broader knowledge and ideas, creativity, and innovation that makes the organizations more competitive, resulting in better performance. Hillman and Dalziel (2003) also discovered that board gender diversity brings more significant resources to firms, reduces external dependencies, reduces uncertainty, and improves reputation, associated with increased business performance and value. Previous research has further described how TMT diversity impacts organizational strategy and performance (Wiersema and Bantel, 1992; Olson *et al.,* 2006) and stated that gender diversity is positively related to performance (Carter *et al.,* 2003).

The complexity of risks in banking business activities increases the need for good governance practices because Covid-19 has posed the threat of non-performing credit, market, and liquidity risks to the banking system. This caused the internal organs of companies, specifically the directors and commissioners, to initiate several policies and strategies to survive the pandemic. Therefore, governance tools are essential in mitigating the increasingly complex risks of online banking transactions and ensuring the management of problems arising. Erkens *et al*. (2012) stated that corporate governance significantly impacts company performance during crises, while Orazalin and Mahmood (2018) showed that the impact was positive through better CG practices which led to better bank operation after a period of a financial crisis. Practices, such as changes in the CG code, board structure, disclosure requirements, and members' competence, significantly impact CG and improve the bank's operational performance. Khatib and Nour (2021) stated that board diversity significantly improves company performance during the pandemic. Asprima and Sudaryati (2020) also stated that corporate governance can decrease the negative impact of environmental uncertainty toward performance, while Baum *et al*. (2012) found that the relationship significantly impacts corporate liquidity. Elmarzouki *et al*. (2021) stated that governance variables, including independent commissioners and gender diversity, moderated the relationship between Covid-19 disclosure as well as performance and gave stakeholders a better understanding of the company's current as well as future performance. This was achieved by demonstrating how managers handled the crisis to reduce the risks. Therefore, the hypothesis formulated is:

H2: Ceteris paribus, Corporate governance mitigates the negative impact of Covid-19 pandemic on bank performance

3. Research Methodology

3.1. Research Data and Sample

All commercial banks trading on the Indonesia Stock Exchange (IDX) between 2017 and 2020 make up the population. Sampling yielded an uneven data panel of 42 financial institutions and 648 observations. Secondary data were collected from bank websites, annual reports, governance reports or news releases, as well as quarterly financial reports. Meanwhile, those obtained manually include corporate governance data, such as Director and Commissioner (Board) size, gender diversity, and self-assessment rating on implementing good corporate governance in banks.

3.2. Research Model

The model to test the hypotheses is presented in models 1, 2, and 3 in line with Sutarti *et al*. (2021) :

The research model to test hypothesis H1:

$$PERFit = \beta + \beta 1COVIDit + \beta 2\ SIZEit + \beta 3GOVit + \varepsilon it \quad (1)$$

The research model to test hypothesis H2 :

$$PERFit = \beta + \beta 1COVIDit + \beta 2EFEK_CGit + \beta 3SIZE_DIRit + \beta 4DIV_GEN.DIRit + \beta 5SIZE_COMit + \beta 6DIV_GEN.COMit + \beta 7SIZEit + \beta 8GOVit + \varepsilon it \quad (2)$$

$$(2PERFit = \beta + \beta 1COVIDit + \beta 2EFEK_CGit + \beta 3SIZE_DIRit + \beta 4DIV_GEN.DIRit + \beta 5SIZE_COMit + \beta 6DIV_GEN.COMit + \beta 7COVID*EFEK_CGit + \beta 8COVID*SIZE_DIRit + \beta 9COVID*DIV_GEN.DIRit + \beta 10COVID*SIZE_COMit + \beta 11COVID*1DIV_GEN.COMit + \beta 12SIZEit + \beta 13GOVit + \varepsilon it \quad (3)$$

Where:

PERF is the current banking performance as measured by return on assets (ROA) in the bank i, in year t, COVID represents a dummy variable 1 when the bank operates during the pandemic period and 0 when it does not, operates. EFEK_CG represents governance effectiveness measured by the composite value at the bank i in year t, SIZE_DIR is the number of directors in the bank, EFEK_DIR is the effectiveness of directors (TMT), DIV_GEN.DIR represents gender diversity of directors in the Index, SIZE_COM is the number of commissioners, DIV_GEN.COM represents gender diversity, SIZE is the size of bank i in year t in log_aset, GOV is a dummy variable 1 when the government owns the bank, and 0 when it is owned by other individuals.

3.3. Operationalization of Defined Variables

3.3.1. Dependent Variable: Bank Performance (PERF)

The bank or financial performance measure is expressed in terms of profitability and calculated by employing the ratio approach, known as return on assets (ROA) (Orazalin and Mahmood., 2018; Prashar and Gupta, 2020; Shen *et al*., 2020; Sutarti *et al*., 2021; Khatib and Nour, 2021). This is acquired from pre-tax profit divided by total assets, including net profit margin on total assets and net profit/ending balance on total assets.

3.3.2. Independent Variable: Covid-19 Pandemic (COVID)

A dummy variable was used to denote Covid-19 with a value of 1 when the company operates during the pandemic and 0 when it does not (Shen *et al.,* 2020).

3.3.3. Moderating Variable: Corporate Governance

The moderating variable is corporate governance, measured using methods adopted from other studies. It was proxied using board size, which consists of the number of directors (SIZE_DIR) and commissioners (SIZE_COM) adopted because Indonesia follows a two-tier governance system. This is in accordance with previous research that the number of directors or commissioners on the firm's board is employed to measure board size (Puni and Anlensinya, 2020; Gupta and Pashar, 2020; Elmarzouky *et al.*, 2021; Sutarti *et al.*, 2021). Gender diversity shows the mix of men and women who occupy the positions of directors or commissioners in the company, and it is measured using the Blau Index (Schwab *et al.*, 2015; Sutarti *et al.*, 2021).

Governance effectiveness (EFEK_CG) is the GCG assessment rating of bank governance in the annual and bank governance report, where a score of 4, 3, 2, 1, and 0 is given when the self-assessment results have a rating of 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5.

3.3.4. Control Variable

The control variables are bank size and ownership. This bank size, denoted as SIZE, was acquired from the log of total natural assets (Sutarti *et al*., 2021). Furthermore, it is linked to bank performance due to the financial institutions' capability to reduce costs based on economies of scale. GOV represents ownership with a dummy variable of 1 when a bank is possessed by the government and 0 when owned by other individuals (Shuying *et al*., 2017). Li and Simerly (1998) explained that this bank's ownership structure affects managerial supervision due to performance improvement efforts, while Zhou *et al*. (2016) described that state ownership has a minor role in promoting innovation as well as company performance from the conventional efficiency-based economic perspective.

3.4. Analysis Method

Data were analyzed using panel data regression, the Chow, and the Hausman test to select the best model from pooled least squares, fixed, as well as random effects. The estimation method used is Generalized least square (GLS), through STATA software version 13. Moreover, the classical assumption testing for multicollinearity is the Variance Inflation Factor (VIF) test, where the mean VIF above 10 shows multicollinearity. Subsequently, the VIF test of each model showed that several variables had multicollinearity, treated by centering.

4. Results and Discussion

This research was carried out on 42 selected banks registered with the Bank Indonesia listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange from 2017–2020, totaling 648 observations. These consist of 4 state-owned, 2 regional developments (BPD), 34 privates, and 2 Sharia commercial banks. Furthermore, data were extracted from the annual, financial, and bank governance reports as well as websites.

Table I shows the descriptive as well as multivariate analysis, including the mean, standard deviation, as well as a correlation for the selected variables to explain the impact of the pandemic on performance using corporate governance as moderating variable. The variables used include Covid-19, corporate governance as proxied by the number of directors, diversity of directors, number of commissioners, diversity of the board of commissioners, corporate governance effectiveness, as well as bank performance.

Table I. Means, Standard Deviations, and Correlations

Variables	Mean	SD	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
1. ROA	0.361	1.244	1								
2. COVID	0.324	0.468	-0.09***	1							
3. EFEK_CG	2.895	0.424	0.32***	-0.02	1						
4. SIZE_DIR	6.25	2.692	0.33***	0.02	0.42***	1					
5. DIV_GEN.DIR	0.228	0.189	0.06	0.01	0.15***	0.26***	1				
6. SIZE COM	4.733	2.091	0.27***	0.01	0.35***	0.80***	0.30***	1			
7. DIV_GEN.COM	0.236	0.358	-0.08	0.01	-0.03	-0.01	0.21***	-0.02	1		
8. SIZE	13.55	0.787	0.43***	0.05	0.42***	0.87***	0.23***	0.80***	-0.08*	1	
9. GOV	0.123	0.329	0.18	-0.01	0.13***	0.43***	0.04	0.49***	-0.14***	0.55***	1

***, **, * Significance at the 1%, 5%, 10%, levels

Source: Processed secondary data

Based on Table II, model 1, hypothesis H1 was tested to determine the effect of Covid-19 on performance (ROA). The coefficient of Covid-19 and performance (ROA) is -0.318, with a p-value of 0.000 (< 0.01), indicating that H1 is accepted. The significant negative effect of Covid-19 on ROA shows that when the pandemic prevailed for an extended period, it limited the bank's financial performance (ROA). This is in line with Shen *et al*. (2020), that discovered the negative effect on company performance. The commercial banks sampled experienced delays in payment of principal and interest as well as in their credit restructuring stimulus. However, this low credit or financing quality will likely worsen due to the cessation of community and industrial economy and business value chains.

Table II. Results of Regression Models 1, 2, and 3 (Hypothesis 1 and 2)

Description	Predict	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
		Var. Dep: PERF= ROA		
Independent Variable		Coef. (Prob t-stat)		
COVID	-	-0.318 (0.000***)	-0.327 (0.000***)	-1.09 (0.015**)
EFEK_CG	+		0.274 (0.022**)	0.182 (0.111)
SIZE_DIR	+		-0.658 (0.074*)	-0.059 (0.108)
DIV_GEN.DIR	+		0.396 (0.156)	0.381 (0.176)
SIZE _COM	+		-0.071 (0.078*)	-0.917 (0.054*)
DIV_GEN.COM	+		0.143 (0.187)	0.237 (0.100)
Interaction				
COVID*EFEK_CG	+			0.361 (0.005**)
COVID*SIZE _DIR	+			-0.027 (0.269)
COVID*DIV_GEN.DIR	+			-0.416 (0.467)
COVID*SIZE_COM	+			0.035 (0.272)
COVID*DIV_GEN.COM	+			(0.160)
Control Variable's				-0.225
SIZE	+/-	0.709 (0.000***)	0.958 (0.000***)	(0.160) (0.000***)
GOV	+/-	-0.227 (0.540)	-0.134 (0.701)	-0.143 (0.676)
Cons		-9,129 (0.000)	1,105 (0.000)	0.679 (0.004)
N		648	648	648
R^2		21.05%	23.92%	24.80%
R^2 Change		21.05%	2.87%	0.88%
Chi2		38.99***	55.05***	61.72***

***, **, * Significance at the 1%, 5%, 10%, levels

The pandemic causes most people to stay at home without making transactions, decreasing the performance of small banks that use the conventional transaction model. However, the larger banks with digital banking services and high technology adoption are the least affected. This situation can cause an increase in fee-based income, as shown by the additional test in Table III, where the small banks in groups 1 and 2 have a significant decrease than 3 and 4. The decline was more significant due to the lack of ready infrastructure to give digital services.

Hypothesis H2 was tested to determine the corporate governance in mitigating the negative effect of the pandemic performance. The model 2 regression results in Table II show that the governance variable, namely effectiveness denoted as EFEK_CG, has a positive and significant coefficient. Therefore, the bank's performance improves when the corporate governance is more effective. This result validates Javaid's (2015) and Sianipar and Wiksuana's (2019) research that governance effectiveness positively affects performance. This positive effect on performance

occurs because the company implements the eleven good corporate governance criteria of the Financial Service Authority (OJK, 2017). The corporate GCG implementation can create a system to direct, control, and supervise all resources efficiently and effectively to maintain a balance of different interests benefitting the company.

Cadbury Committee (1992) considered that corporate governance contains a set of rules that define the relationship between managers, shareholders, government, creditors, employees, as well as other interested parties, concerning their rights and responsibilities. Corporate governance also requires the availability of structures, tools to achieve objectives, and performance monitoring (OECD, 2004). This supports the new institutional economics theory, namely that the institution is said to be efficient when the transaction costs are low. Customers are more likely to use a bank or conduct business, including online banking, when the bank has a solid governance mechanism. This will impact increasing customers using e-banking, ultimately improving the bank's performance as management's responsibility, especially during a pandemic where customers tend to make online transactions.

In contrast to the results of the effectiveness of governance which positively influences performance. Governance variables proxied by the size of the board of directors (SIZE_DIR) and the size of the board of commissioners (SIZE_COM) have negative and significant coefficients, thereby showing that the bank's performance reduces as the number of directors or commissioners in the corporate governance structure increases. This result is in accordance with the previous research, which showed a negative effect of board size on performance (Chen, 2008; Rostami et al., 2016). The negative effect is possible because the larger sizes of directors and commissioners have the potential to cause difficulty in coordination and communication, requiring more effort to reach consensus (Chen, 2008). Therefore, this condition affects the delay in making strategic decisions and overcoming problems, reducing the company's performance.

The governance variable represented by the gender diversity of directors (DIV_GEN.DIR) and the gender diversity of commissioners (DIV_GEN.COM) has a positive but non-significant coefficient, showing that gender diversity of the board of directors and commissioners does not affect performance. This supports the research by Carter *et al*. (2010) and Wachudi *et al*. (2012) that there is no effect of gender diversity on performance.

Model 3 regression in Table II shows the interaction between COVID-19 and EFEK_CG, SIZE_DIR, DIV_GEN.DIR, SIZE_COM, and DIV_GEN.COM. The regression for the interaction of the variables COVID and EFEK_CG were significant. Helm and Mark (2012) described the significant effect of the moderator variable on the dependent as a quasi-moderation. Meanwhile, directors' interaction between size (SIZE_DIR) and diversity (DIV_GEN.DIR) showed a negative but non-significant coefficient. Furthermore, the commissioners' size (SIZE_COM) and diversity (DIV_GEN.COM) showed positive and insignificant coefficients. Only the governance variable denoted by effectiveness (EFEK_GOV) has been proven to mitigate the negative impact of Covid-19 on performance. These results also support the research conducted by Aprisma and Sudaryati

(2020) that governance can decrease the negative impact of environmental uncertainty on performance.

Table III. (Additional Testing)
Comparison of Regression Results The effect of Covid-19 on performance with sub-samples in the Large and Small Bank Groups

PERFit = β+ β1 COVID it+ β2EFEK_CGit + β3SIZEit + β4GOVit + εit.					
		Dependent Variable: ROA			
		Bank group:_Large Size		Bank group:_Small Size	
	Prediction	Coefficient	P-Value	Coefficient	P-Value
Independent Variables					
COVID	+	-0.127	0.094*	-0.442	0.000***
SIZE	(+/-)	0.762	0.000***	0.734	0.002***
Cons		-10.04	0.000	-9.395	0.003
N		253		395	
R2		19%		12%	
Chi2		20.41***		18.11***	

***, **, * ** Significant at the 1%, 5% and 10% levels

Figure I shows the relationship between Covid-19 as well as bank performance with corporate governance effectiveness. The regression in model 1 shows the relationship between Covid-19 and bank performance, similar to a bank practicing governance effectively and less effectively, affecting the bank performance. The two lines show a negative relationship between Covid-19 as well as bank performance, but the decline in performance was sharper for less effective management.



Figure I. Graph of the relationship between Covid-19 and Performance with the effectiveness of corporate governance as the moderating variable

This is in line with the institutional theory that effective governance reduces high transactions during the pandemic and also validates the importance of corporate governance infrastructure in dealing with the pandemic, as Golubeva (2021) reported. This agrees with Kells (2020) that the Covid-19 crisis has increased awareness concerning the importance of strong corporate governance, solid financial guarantees, as well as flexible corporate management. It provides additional literature that governance effectiveness reduces the adverse effects of Covid-19. Thanks to effective governance, the risks or problems faced by companies are better managed by banks. Effective governance adequately navigates the unexpected, identifies opportunities, and develops new strategies for the company's survival to adapt to the pandemic situation.

This implies that banks with good governance effectiveness allow the supervisory role of the board of commissioners to run well. The bank's governance rules state that the board of commissioners is responsible for directing, monitoring, as well as evaluating strategic policies through supervision. This is the reason the directors developed new strategies or innovations to facilitate customer transactions by improving online services and other lending policies. Nguyen *et al*. (2021) discovered that bank customers use digital-based transactions, making digital banks the primary medium for transactions. Therefore, banks with complete digital banking facilities and infrastructure can survive more in the pandemic and post-pandemic era.

For this reason, banks are upgrading their online operating systems and increasing customer services, with some employees working remotely. This increases the potential for operational and cyber security risks. However, effective bank governance, including adequate internal control, gives customers the confidence to transact online. Sutarti *et al*. (2019) showed that effective internal bank control mitigates risks and gives customers the confidence to use e-banking transactions, increasing e-banking users and improving bank performance. This present research supports the regulations that require commercial banks to implement good governance as regulated in POJK No.55/POJK.03/2016 concerning the Good Corporate Governance implementation for commercial banks as well as the one from the Bank Indonesia Regulation Number 11/33/PBI/2009 on good corporate governance for Sharia commercial business.

5. Conclusion

This research determines the relationship between Covid-19 and company performance as well as examines the moderating role of corporate governance in mitigating the negative effect of the pandemic on bank performance. Based on the panel data analysis, Covid-19 negatively affects performance. The relationship between governance effectiveness and performance is positive but has a negative effect on the number of directors and commissioners on performance. The regression model further showed the moderating role of corporate governance effectiveness on the performance of commercial banks. Therefore, it is possible to minimize the negative impact of Covid-19 on bank performance through effective governance. This is due to the ability to navigate through unexpected situations, identify opportunities, and create new strategies to adapt to uncertainties, ensuring the company's survival. This supports the new institutional economics theory, where the institution is said to be efficient when the transaction costs are low. The Financial Services Authority (OJK) as a regulator must implement good governance to enhance bank performance, protect stakeholders' interests, and enhance compliance with laws and regulations.

This present research is expected to contribute as an addition to the literature about the science of corporate governance and also serve as an input for empirical research on the effect of Covid-19 on banking performance and governance effectiveness in mitigating the pandemic's effect. These results give a deeper understanding of the impact on corporate performance and how effective governance reduces the negative effect. Moreover, the findings serve as input for regulators in making policies to ensure good governance in banks and for investors to support regulators. This requires the implementation of OJK Circular Letter Number 32/SEOJK.04/2015 concerning governance guidelines for public companies and POJK Number 55/POJK.03/2016 regarding the governance of commercial banks as well as OJK Circular Letter Number 13/SEOJK.03/2017. Further tests should be conducted on the declining performance of small banks during the Covid-19 period.

This present research has the following limitations, first, it uses a sample of banks registered with Bank Indonesia and listed on the Indonesia Stock Exchange. However, a cross-border bank sample needs to be used in the future. Second, it utilizes moderating governance variables proxied by the effectiveness of governance, the number of directors and commissioners, and gender diversity. Therefore, further studies need to consider other governance mechanisms, such as ownership.

Acknowledgments

The authors are grateful for the financial support from Institut Bisnis dan Informatika Kesatuan through the Internal Research grant.

References

Altig, D., Baker, S., Barrero, J.M., Bloom, N., Bunn, P., Chen, S., Davis, S.J., Leather, J., Meyer, B. and Mihaylov, E. (2020), "Economic uncertainty before and during the COVID-19 pandemic", *Journal of Public Economics*, Vol. 191, pp. 104274.

Aprisma, R and Sudaryati, E. (2020). Environmental Uncertainty and Firm Performance: The Moderating Role of Corporate Governance Reyry Aprisma and Erina Sudaryati. *Jurnal Akuntansi*/Volume XXIV, No. 02 December: 187-203 DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.24912/ja.v24i2.690

Balasa, AP (2020). COVID – 19 on Lockdown, Social Distancing and Flattening the Curve – A Review. *European Journal of Business and Management Research* Vol. 5, No. 3, May.

Baicu, C.G., Gârdan, I. P., Gârdan, D.A., Epuran, G., (2020), "The impact of COVID-19 on consumer behavior in retail banking. Evidence from Romania", *Management & Marketing. Challenges for the Knowledge Society*, Vol. 15, No. Special Issue, pp. 534-556, DOI: 10.2478/mmcks-2020-0031.

Baum, C.F., Chakraborty, A., , L., Liu, B. (2012). "The effects of uncertainty and corporate governance on firms' demand for liquidity," *Applied Economics,* Taylor & Francis Journals, vol. 44(4),

Bolo, A.Z., Muchemi, A. W., Ogutu, M. (2011). Diversity in the top management teams and effects on corporate performance. *Business Administration and Management* (BAM) Vol. 1(3), pp. 82-92, March

Carter, D.A., Simkins, B.J. and Simpson, W.G. (2003), "Corporate governance, board diversity, and firm value", *Financial Review*, Vol. 38, pp. 33-53.

Carter,D.A., D'Souza, F., Simkins,B.J.,Simpson, W.G. (2010).The Gender and Ethnic Diversity of US Boards and Board Committees and Firm Financial Performa. *Corporate Governance: An International Review*, 2010, 18(5): 396–414.

Cheng, S. (2008). Board size and the variability of corporate performance. *Journal of Financial Economics* 87, 157–176.

Czetwertyński, S. and Sukiennik,.J. (2021). Changes in Institutional Systems during Covid-19 Pandemic from the Institutional Conception of O.E. Williamson. *European Research Studies Journal,* Volume XXIV, Special Issue *3,* pp. 571-583.

Elmarzouky,M., Albitar, K.,Hussainey, K. (2021). Covid-19 and performance disclosure: does governance matter?. *International Journal of Accounting & Information Management* Vol. 29 No. 5, 2021 pp. 776-792. DOI 10.1108/IJAIM-04-2021-0086

Erkens, D.H., Hung, M., Matos, P. (2012). Corporate governance in the 2007–2008 financial crisis: Evidence from financial institutions worldwide. *Journal of Corporate Finance* Volume 18, Issue 2, Pages 389-411, April.

Fu, M., and Shen. H. (2020). COVID-19 and corporate performance in the energy industry - Moderating effect of goodwill impairment. *Energy Research Letters* 1 (1):12967. doi:10.46557/ 001c.12967

Foss, N.J. (2020). The impact of the COVID-19 pandemic on firms' organizational designs. *Journal of Management Studies*, ahead of print (ahead of print) https://doi.org/10.1111/joms.12643

Gaur, S.S., Bathula, H. and Singh, D. (2015), "Ownership concentration, board characteristics and firm performance: a contingency framework", *Management Decision*, Vol. 53 No. 5, pp. 911-931.

Golubeva,O. (2021).Firms' performance during the COVID-19 outbreak: international evidence from 13 countries. *Corporate Governance,* Vol. 21 No. 6 2021, pp. 1011-1027. DOI 10.1108/CG-09-2020-0405

Hambrick, D.C. dan Mason, P.A. (1984). Upper echelons: The organization as reflection of its top managers. *Academy of Managemnet Review*, 9, 193-206.

Helm, R and Mark, A. (2012). Analysis and evaluation of moderator effects in regression models: state of art,alternatives and empirical example. *Review of Managerial Science* 6:307–332. DOI 10.1007/s11846-010-0057

Hillman, A.J. and Dalziel, T. (2003), "Boards of directors and firm performance: integrating agency and resource dependence perspectives", *Academy of Management Review*, Vol. 28 No. 3, pp. 383-396.

Javaid.F. (2015). Impact Of Corporate Governance Index On Firm Performance: Evidence From Pakistani Manufacturing Sector. *Journal of Governance and Regulation* , Volume 4, Issue 3, 2015, Continued - 1

Karim, M.R., Shetu, S.A. and Razia, S. (2021), "COVID-19, l.iquidity and financial health: empirical evidence from South Asian economy", *Asian Journal of Economics and Banking,* Vol. 5 No. 3, pp. 307-323. https://doi.org/10.1108/AJEB-03-2021-0033

Kells, S. (2020). Impacts of COVID-19 on corporate governance and assurance, international finance and economics, and non-fiction book publishing: some personal reflections. *Journal of Accounting & Organizational Change*. DOI 10.1108/JAOC-08-2020-0115

Kenisah, M.L., Yulina and Cendra. (2021). Bagaimana Kinerja Usaha Menengah Di Masa Pandemi Covid-19?. *Jurnal Studi Akuntansi dan Keuangan* Vol. 4(2), 2021, halaman 210 – 226

Khatib, S.F.A., and Nour, A.I. (2021). The Impact of Corporate Governance on Firm Performance During The COVID-19 Pandemic: Evidence from Malaysia. *Journal of Asian Finance, Economics and Business* Vol 8 No 2 (2021) 0943– –0952

Lateef, M. A., & Akinsulore, A. O. (2021). Covid-19: Implications for Corporate Governance and Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR) in Africa. *Beijing Law Review*, 12, 139-160. https://doi.org/10.4236/blr.2021.121008

Lew, Y.K., Yu, J. and Park, J.Y. (2018), "The impacts of independent director and CEO duality on performance in the Chinese post-institutional-transition era", Canadian Journal of Administrative Sciences, Vol. 35 No. 4, pp. 620-634.

Li, M. and Simerly, R.L. (1998), "The moderating effect of environmental dynamism on the ownership and performance relationship", *StrategicManagement Journal*, Vol. 19 No. 2, pp. 169-179.

Liu, H., Yi, X., & Yin, L. (2020). The impact of operating flexibility on firms' performance during the COVID-19 outbreak: Evidence from China. *Finance Research Letters*, October, 101808. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.frl.2020.101808

Lueg, R., and Borisov, B. G. (2014). Archival or perceived measures of environmental uncertainty? Conceptualization and new empirical evidence. *European Management Journal*, 32(4), 658–671.

Ltifi, M. and Hichri, A.. (2021). The effects of corporate governance on the customer's recommendations: a study of the banking sector at the time of COVID-19. *Journal of Knowledge Management*

Marciszewska, B. (2021). The Tourism Sector Under Uncertainty due to COVID-19 Pandemic and the Assumptions of New Institutional Economics. European Research Studies Journal Volume XXIV, Issue 2B, pp. 158-169 .

Mirza,N., Rahat, B., Naqvi B.,Rizvi, S.K.A. (2020) . Impact of Covid-19 on corporate solvency and possible policy responses in the EU. *The Quarterly Review of Economics and Finance*, https://doi.org/10.1016/j.qref.2020.09.002

Mishra, D. (2020). Covid-19 Disruption And Service Firms' Adaptation Strategies: Institutional Theory Perspectives. In book: COVID-19 and the Future of the Service Industry Post-Pandemic: Insights and Resources, Prof. H. Han and Jungwoo Lee (editors), Springer Press. DOI:10.1007/978-981-33-4134-0_8

Nguyen,N.T.H., Kim-Duc, N., and Freiburghaus, T.L. (2021). Effect of digital banking-related customer experience on banks' financial performance during Covid-19: a perspective from Vietnam. *Journal Of Asia Business Studies*. DOI 10.1108/JABS-09-2020-0366

Olson, B.J, Parayitam, S., Twigg, N.W. (2006). Mediating Role of Strategic Choice Between Top Management Team Diversity and Firm Performance: Upper Echelons Theory Revisited. *Journal of Business and Management*; 2006; 12, 2; ProQuest pg. 111

Orazalin, N and Mahmood, M. (2018). The financial crisis as a wake-up call: corporate governance and bank performance in an emerging economy. *Corporate Governance* . Vol. 19 No. 1 2019, Pp. 80-101.

Pfeffer, J. and Salancik, G. (1978), The External Control of Organizations: A Resource Dependence Perspective, University of Illinois at Urbana-Champaign's Academy for Entrepreneurial Leadership Historical Research Reference in Entrepreneurship, available at SSRN: https://ssrn. com/abstract=1496213

Pantano, E., Pizzi, G., Scarpi, D., & Dennis, C. (2020). Competing during a pandemic? Retailers' ups and downs during the COVID-19 outbreak. *Journal of Business Research*, 116(May), 209–213. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2020.05.036

Prashar, A. and Gupta, P. (2020). Corporate boards and firm performance: a meta-analytic approach to examine the impact of contextual factors. *International Journal of Emerging Markets* Vol. 16 No. 7, 2021 pp. 1454-1478 DOI 10.1108/IJOEM-10-2019-0860

Puni, A and Anlesinya, A. (2020). Corporate governance mechanisms and firm performance in a developing country. *International Journal of Law and Management* Vol. 62 No. 2, 2020 pp. 147-169. DOI 10.1108/IJLMA-03-2019-0076

Rahman MK, Gazi M.AI, Bhuiyan MA, Rahaman M.A (2021) Effect of Covid-19 pandemic on tourist travel risk and management perceptions. *PLoS ONE* 16(9): e0256486. https://doi.org/ 10.1371/journal.pone.0256486

Rostami, S., Rostami, Z., Kohansal, S. (2016). The Effect of Corporate Governance Components on Return on Assets and Stock Return of Companies Listed in Tehran Stock Exchange. *Procedia Economics and Finance* 36, 137 – 146.

Schwab,A., Werbel,J.D., Hofmann, H., Henriques, P.L., (2015). Managerial Gender Diversity and Firm Performance: An Integration of Different Theoretical Perspectives. *Group & Organization Management* 1–27

Shen, H., Mengyao Fu., Hongyu Pan., Zhongfu Yu., Yongquan Chen (2020) The Impact of the COVID-19 Pandemic on Firm Performance. *Emerging Markets Finance and Trade*, 56:10, 2213-2230, DOI: 10.1080/1540496X.2020.1785863

Shuying,W.,Shuijuan,Z., Li bobo., (2017).Effect of diversity on top management team to the bank'sinnovation ability-based on the nature of ownership perspective. *Procedia Engineering* 174, 240 – 245

Siahaan T. (2020). Kinerja & Prospek Emiten Finansial Di Tengah Pandemi Covid-19. Lembaga Pengembangan Perbankan Indonesia. https://lppi.or.id/site/assets/files/1668/weblppi-180520-ts.pdf

Sianipar, R.H and Wiksuana,I.G.B. (2019). The Study of Effect of Good Corporate Governance on Financial Performance. *RJOAS,* 2(86), February, DOI 10.18551/rjoas.2019-02.19

Sun,Y.V., Liu, B., Prodromou, T. (2021). The determinants of the COVID-19 related stock price overreaction and volatility. *Studies in Economics and Finance*. DOI 10.1108/SEF-08-2021-0330.

Sutarti, Syakhroza, A., Vera, D., Dewo, S.A., (2019). The Effects of The Adoption of E-Banking Technology Innovation On The Performance With The Internal Control Effectiveness As The Moderating Variable: An Evidence From Commercial Banks In Indonesia. *Jurnal Akuntansi dan Keuangan Indonesia*, Juni 2019, Vol. 16, No. 1, hal 54-79. http://jaki.ui.ac.id/index.php/home/article/view/1401

Sutarti, Syahkroza, A, Diyanty, V, Dewo, S.A. (2021). Top Management team (TMT) age diversity and firm performance: the moderating role of the effectiveness of TMT meetings. *Team Performance Management An International Journal*, DOI 10.1108/TPM-01-2021-006

Van de Ven, AH and Drazin, R. (1984). The Concept of Fit in Contingency Theory. Strategic Management Research Center, University of Minnesota.

Val Sun,Y., Liu Bin and Prodromou, T. (2021). The determinants of the COVID-19 related stock price overreaction and volatility. Studies in Economics and Finance, 1086-7376 DOI 10.1108/SEF-08-2021-0330.

Wachudi. J., Ekadah., Mboya. J. (2012). Effect Of Board Gender Diversity On The Performance Of Commercial Banks In Kenya. *European Scientific Journal* April Edition Vol. 8, No.7 Issn: 1857 – 7881 (Print) E - Issn 1857- 7431

Wiersema, M., and Bantel, K.A. (1992). Top management team demography and corporate strategic change. *Academy of Management Journal*, 35, 91-121.

Williamson, O.E. (2000). The New Institutional Economics: Taking Stock, Looking Ahead. Journal of Economic Literature, 38(3), 595-613. https://doi.org/10.1257/jel.38.3.595.

Yin, S., Zhang, N., and Dong, H. (2020) Preventing COVID-19 from the perspective of industrial information integration: Evaluation and continuous improvement of information networks for sustainable epidemic prevention, Journal of Industrial Information Integration

Zhou K.Z., Gao, G.Y., Zhao, H. (2016). "State Ownership and Firm Innovation in China: An Integrated View of Institutional and Efficiency Logics, *Administrative Science Quarterly* 1–30

The Influence of Corporate Governance for the Indonesian Banking Industry in a Pandemic Period

ORIGINALITY REPORT

18%	14%	14%	5%
SIMILARITY INDEX	INTERNET SOURCES	PUBLICATIONS	STUDENT PAPERS

PRIMARY SOURCES

#	Source	%
1	Sutarti Sutarti, Akhmad Syakhroza, Vera Diyanty, Setio Anggoro Dewo. "Top management team (TMT) age diversity and firm performance: the moderating role of the effectiveness of TMT meetings", Team Performance Management: An International Journal, 2021 Publication	4%
2	eprints.kingston.ac.uk Internet Source	2%
3	islamicmarkets.com Internet Source	2%
4	ecojoin.org Internet Source	1%
5	www.bankmandiri.co.id Internet Source	1%
6	www.researchgate.net Internet Source	1%

7	"Explore Business, Technology Opportunities and Challenges After the Covid-19 Pandemic", Springer Science and Business Media LLC, 2023 Publication	1 %
8	www.tandfonline.com Internet Source	1 %
9	www.bankmuamalat.co.id Internet Source	1 %
10	ijicc.net Internet Source	1 %
11	vpr.hkma.gov.hk Internet Source	1 %
12	www.banksinarmas.com Internet Source	<1 %
13	www.emeraldinsight.com Internet Source	<1 %
14	investor.cimbniaga.co.id Internet Source	<1 %
15	www.growingscience.com Internet Source	<1 %
16	Submitted to Universitas International Batam Student Paper	<1 %
17	www.bca.co.id Internet Source	<1 %

18	Nurlan Orazalin, Monowar Mahmood. "The financial crisis as a wake-up call: corporate governance and bank performance in an emerging economy", Corporate Governance: The international journal of business in society, 2019 Publication	<1 %
19	Submitted to Universiti Malaysia Perlis Student Paper	<1 %
20	www.jtrustbank.co.id Internet Source	<1 %
21	Md Robiul Karim, Farzana Islam Rume, Abu Nasar Md Aminoor Rahman, Zhenjie Zhang, Junqiang Li, Longxian Zhang. " Evidence for Zoonotic Potential of in Its First Molecular Characterization in Captive Mammals at Bangladesh National Zoo ", Journal of Eukaryotic Microbiology, 2020 Publication	<1 %
22	Submitted to iGroup Student Paper	<1 %
23	www.assa.id Internet Source	<1 %
24	369485e5-78d9-4695-8ee7-77e624124993.filesusr.com Internet Source	<1 %

25	Mahmoud Elmarzouky, Khaldoon Albitar, Khaled Hussainey. "Covid-19 and performance disclosure: does governance matter?", International Journal of Accounting & Information Management, 2021 Publication	<1 %
26	Submitted to Queen Mary and Westfield College Student Paper	<1 %
27	academic.oup.com Internet Source	<1 %
28	mjs.um.edu.my Internet Source	<1 %
29	Submitted to University of Leeds Student Paper	<1 %
30	a67936ff-16a7-4cf0-8088-1a0014e72ef7.filesusr.com Internet Source	<1 %
31	bankbke.co.id Internet Source	<1 %
32	repository.maranatha.edu Internet Source	<1 %
33	www.idx.co.id Internet Source	<1 %

Exclude quotes	On	Exclude matches	< 10 words
Exclude bibliography	On		